A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid for those who wish to make a record of the extent to which a health technology assessment report meets the 14 questions given in the checklist.

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports — reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

Item								s	Pa	rtly	No
Preliminary							I				
Appropriate contact details for further information?											
2. Authors identified?							X				
3. Statement regarding conflict of	est?				X						
4. Statement on whether report	ally reviewed?				Х						
5. Short summary in non-technical language?							X				
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy quest	ion tha	at is add	dresse	ed?)	<	
7. Reference to the research qu	(s) that is/are addressed?				X						
8. Scope of the assessment spe	?										
9. Description of the assessed h					Х						
(In the document or within the public http://portal.dimdi.de/de/hta/hta ber 10. Details on sources of inform Search strategy	richte/h ation a	chte/hta362_b		de.pdf	(Germain Stratego Lang			ded? Primary data		Other kind of information	
X		X		X	X		X			resources	
						•					
Complete reference list of inclusion studies	ided	List of excluded		Inclusion criteria		eria	Exclusion criteria			teria	
X		studies X		X			X				
11. Information on basis for the	asses										
Method of data extraction described?					ation of	selected	data a	and i	nform	ation?)
		Critica met q asses the li	al app thod (juality	raisal for nt of ure)	Met s	selected hod of da ynthesis escribed?	nta	as p	Resusessresen	Its of t nent c ted, e.	he learly
		Critica met q asses the li	il app thod (juality ssme iterati	raisal for nt of ure)	Met s	hod of da ynthesis	nta	as p	Resusessr resen	Its of t nent c ted, e. of evi	he learly g. in
described?	ly to ea	Critica met q asses the li des	Il app thod (juality ssme iteratu scribe X	raisal for nt of ure)	Met s	nod of da ynthesis escribed?	nta	as p	Resusessr resen	Its of t nent c ted, e. of evi bles?	he learly g. in
described?	-	Critica met q asses the li des	al app thod (quality ssme iterate scribe X mic sis	raisal for nt of ure) d?	Met s	nod of da ynthesis escribed?	al tions	as p the	Resursessrresen ta	Its of to the ted, e. of evidence? O erspecieholde	he learly g. in dence ctives ers, umers)
X Context? (may or may not apply (Medico-) legal implications	-	Critica met asses the li des ch HTA)	al app thod (quality ssme iterate scribe X mic sis	raisal for nt of ure) d? Eth implic consid	Met s de	hod of da ynthesis escribed? X Socia implicat	al tions	as p the	Resursessrresen ta	Its of to the second se	he learly g. in dence ctives ers, umers)
X Context? (may or may not appl) (Medico-) legal implications considered?	-	Critica met asses the li des ch HTA) Econo analy provide	al app thod (quality ssme iterate scribe X mic sis	raisal for nt of ure) d? Eth implic consid	Met s de ical ations dered?	hod of da ynthesis escribed? X Socia implicat conside	al tions	as p the	Resursessrresen ta	Its of to the second se	he learly g. in dence ctives ers, umers)
X Context? (may or may not appl) (Medico-) legal implications considered?	3	Critica met asses the li des ch HTA) Econo analy provide	al app thod (quality ssme iterate scribe X mic sis	raisal for nt of ure) d? Eth implic consid	Met s de ical ations dered?	hod of da ynthesis escribed? X Socia implicat conside	al tions red?	as p the	Resursessrresen ta	Its of to the ted, e. of evidence of evidence of evidence of expectations of e	he learly g. in dence ctives ers, umers)

Version 3.2 August 2007

14. Suggestions for further action?	Х	

Version 3.2 August 2007