

Chemotherapy of *Listeria* infections

Abstract

The combination of amoxicillin or ampicillin, respectively, with an aminoglycoside remains still the standard therapy of infections with *Listeria monocytogenes*, although it has been questioned in clinical studies as well as in animal experiments whether the addition of an aminoglycoside really improves the outcome. There are some alternatives for example cotrimoxazole. Several microbiological and experimental evidences vote for the use of quinolones, in particular of moxifloxacin, against these facultative intracellular bacteria; clinical experiences with these agents are, however, limited. In addition to these drugs a large number of antimicrobials can be used for therapy of listeriosis in certain situations. Cephalosporins should be avoided. Resistance development is not a major problem.

Keywords: *Listeria*, antibiotics, in vitro activities, intracellular action, animal experiments, clinical activities

Herbert Hof¹

¹ Labor Limbach, Heidelberg, Germany

Microbiology of *Listeria* spp.

The genus *Listeria* comprises of at least 10 different species [1], [2], [3], [4]. Most of these are, however, non-pathogenic bacteria; yet they have to be differentiated from each other when *Listeriae* are isolated from the environment for example from various food items. In human cases of listeriosis almost exclusively *Listeria monocytogenes* is found. The individual isolates of this major pathogen can differ in their virulence [5] as well as in their antigenic surface composition. Serovars 1 and 4 represent by far the most frequent variants. A striking difference between non-pathogenic and pathogenic strains is the presence of a series of virulence factors most of which are located in a pathogenicity island on the chromosome encoding a haemolysin (listeriolysin), phospholipases and an actin polymerizing protein [6]. In principle, *Listeriae* are geophilic, i.e. they reside in the soil, since they are non-fastidious. They are able to adapt to various challenges by multiple changes in bacterial metabolism and gene expression and therefore can grow at many quite different sites; hence, vegetables are contaminated and even milk and meat and fish products can get polluted secondarily. This means that various food items, especially soft-cheese, salami, gravled salmon, ready-to-eat food and salads, are the source of infections of men and animals [7].

Pathogenesis: Intracellular growth is a relevant process

Whereas the exposition to *Listeriae* via various food items is rather frequent, listeriosis is rare. One reason is, that the innate immune system generally protects the hosts to some extent. For example there are some antimicrobial peptides, such as defensins. In principle *L. monocyto-*

genes is susceptible to a large range of human as well as non-human antimicrobial peptides [8], [9]. It can be anticipated that these natural products might reduce the bacterial load of the ingested food. Hence, the chance for pathogenic bacteria to come into contact with the mucosal epithelium is lessened and the risk of infection is lowered.

Surface proteins of *L. monocytogenes* such as Inl A und B, which are leucine-rich repeat-containing proteins, bind to special receptors on the host cell membrane and trigger the uptake of *L. monocytogenes* by clathrin-dependant mechanisms [10]. Once inside a host cell, i.e. in a membrane bound vacuole, the bacterium is confronted to unfavorable growth conditions such as low pH, kationic antimicrobial compounds, cytokines and lytic enzymes [11]. By means of several virulence factors, such as hemolysin (listeriolysin O) and phospholipases, the pathogenic bacteria produce a hole in the vacuolar membrane through which the bacteria may rapidly escape into the cytosol [6] a privileged site, where the bacterium is largely protected against host's defense mechanisms as well as most antibiotics. There, they are able to multiply. By means of a cell wall protein, i.e. Act A, they can actively polymerize actin filaments of the host cell just on one pole of the bacterial cell, so that the bacterium is pushed forward. By this type of intracellular motility they migrate through the host cell and by chance the bacteria may arrive at the inner front of the host cell membrane. This situation triggers the extrusion of long filaments of the host cell containing a bacterium, which penetrate into adjacent host cells and at last are integrated in this second host cell [6]. By this way, *L. monocytogenes* is thought to be able to spread from one host cell to another without an extracellular stage and to cross anatomical barriers such as the intestinal mucosa, the blood-brain-barrier or the placenta [12]. Indeed, during listeriosis the

bacteria are found within the cytosol and even within the nucleus of various host cells for example in neurons [13]. Within the host cells, several bacterial components are processed and typically presented together with MHC class I-receptors at the cell surface to CD8 T-lymphocytes [14]. Indeed, the intracellular habitat of *L. monocytogenes* calls for a cell-mediated immune response, which is histologically characterized by a granulomatous inflammatory reaction developing after a few days of infection [15]. On the other hand, *L. monocytogenes* is quite able to grow extracellularly, too. Like other pyogenic bacteria they induce an acute granulocytic inflammatory response; typically in the CSF in patients with Listeria meningitis the majority of visible bacteria are extracellular surrounded by polymorphonuclear granulocytes [7].

Epidemiology of listeriosis

Exposure of humans to *L. monocytogenes* is quite frequent, but infections are rare. In most instances these infections occur sporadically, but sometimes small outbreaks have been reported. In some countries such as USA the incidence has decreased [16] whereas in others such as England, Wales, the Netherlands and Germany, the incidence of listeriosis has grown up in the last few years [17]. Food-borne infections with *L. monocytogenes* predominantly occur in immunocompromised patients. Less than 30% of infections develop in otherwise healthy subjects. Advanced age, acute myeloid leukemia as well as iatrogenic immunosuppression by steroids and lymphotoxic agents (mycophenolic acid, cyclosporine A, azathioprin, etanercept) in organtransplant recipients, in cancer patients, in individuals with severe rheumatic diseases and in auto-immune disorders are the most common predisposing factors. In recent times immunomodulating monoclonal antibodies directed against TNF α or IL 1 such as retuximab, infliximab or adalimumab [18] are of special concern. In addition debilitating diseases such as HIV infection, diabetes and liver cirrhosis as well as conditions resulting in high iron load will facilitate the manifestation of listeriosis [16].

In rare cases a contact of farmers and veterinaries with infected animals may lead to a direct inoculation of Listeriae into the skin or the conjunctival mucosa inducing localized infections. Furthermore, nosocomial infections, particularly of newborns, have been described [19].

Clinical manifestations

Whereas intestinal symptoms of an infection with *L. monocytogenes* such as enteritis are outlined by a few patients, in most instances the prominent clinical manifestations are sepsis, meningitis and encephalitis, sometimes in combinations. Obviously, *L. monocytogenes* is one of those bacterial pathogens able to cross first the intestinal mucosa and then the blood brain barrier and other anatomical structures. In only few instances other

organs may be involved; endocarditis, arthritis, cholecystitis etc. have been reported. Overt disease develops primarily – but not exclusively – in immunocompromised adult patients especially in the very old. Pyogenic local infections of the skin and the conjunctival mucosa are rather uncommon [20].

A particular situation exists, when a pregnant women being about 12 times more prone to listeriosis than normal population will be infected; during a short episode of septic spreading of bacteria which will be anticipated as a flu-like disease a colonization of the placenta may happen, and in some cases a transition of bacteria through the anatomical barrier may result in an intrauterine infection of the fetus [21]. While most pregnancy-related infections of the fetus occur during the third trimester, listeriosis can develop at any time during pregnancy and, in some instances, asymptomatic pregnant women may pass on infection to the fetus.

Diagnostics

L. monocytogenes are non-fastidious and can easily be grown in various nutrient media. Since bacteremia is often only transient, blood cultures can, however, remain negative. Meningitis can be approved by microscopy and culture of CSF. During encephalitis, however, the bacteria may reside entrapped in infective foci and cannot be detected in CSF in every case. Consequently, in some cases Listeria infections are undiagnosed. In these cases, imaging methods such as CT scan [22] can help to make a presumptive diagnosis. Pyogenic infections of other organs can be diagnosed by biopsies.

Therapy

Antibiotic activities against Listeria spp.

Susceptibility of Listeria spp. in vitro

In case of testing susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* special conditions and break-points have been proposed by CLSI for penicillin, ampicillin as well as cotrimoxazole [23]. All other antibiotics are not recommended for routine testing, since generally, clinical isolates of *L. monocytogenes* are susceptible in vitro to a wide range of antibiotics except to older quinolones, cephalosporins, aztreonam and fosfomycin; it is noteworthy that only a narrow range of MICs is found [7], [24] (Table 1). The susceptibility of clinical isolates can be predicted with a high probability even without a laboratory testing [7]. In particular resistance to penicillins does not have any practical impact in medicine! Conclusively, microbial resistance does not play a major role in the therapy of listeriosis [25]. Amoxicillin is slightly more active than ampicillin against *L. monocytogenes*, since the MIC values are marginally lower and the time to kill is somewhat lower.

Table 1: Activities of some antibiotics on *Listeria monocytogenes* in vitro, in cell cultures and in animal experiments [7]

	in vitro	on intracellular bacteria	in experimental infections
ampicillin/amoxicillin	+++	++	++
cephalosporins	–	–	–
aminoglycosides	+++	–	–
tetracyclines	+++	+	++
macrolides	+++	+++	+++
cotrimoxazole	+++	+	+++
linezolid	++	++	++
fluoroquinolones	+++	+++	+++
glycopeptides	+++	–	–
rifampicin	–	++	++

Occasionally, resistance or at least reduced susceptibility to a few antibiotics, such as tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and chloramphenicol have been found in food isolates [26]. Indeed, a horizontal transfer of antimicrobial resistance from other gram-positive bacteria to *Listeria* by means of conjugative genetic elements such as transposons and plasmids has been observed [27]. The meaning of a report on penicillin resistance in all 9 *Listeria* isolates from chickens in East Africa [28] remains unclear.

The role of Penicillin Binding Proteins

L. monocytogenes produces 5 vital penicillin binding proteins (PBP) [29] as well as some additional PBP-like structures [30]. About 80 up to 600 copies of each protein are present in one bacterial cells; it is obvious not necessary to neutralize absolutely all of these targets by penicillin molecules but only a majority of them. The activity of PBP3 is essential whereas neutralization of the other targets can be partially compensated.

Cephalosporins, in particular those of the 3rd generation, as well as mecillinam and aztreonam, have a rather low affinity for the PBP3. Hence, *L. monocytogenes* is generally resistant to these betalactam antibiotics except of a very few isolates [31]. Penicillins, especially ampicillin and amoxicillin, are active as well as imipenem disposing even of lower MIC values than ampicillin [32].

The effect of betalactams is, however, only bacteriostatic in vitro for *L. monocytogenes* [7], [32], which is a definite disadvantage in a compromised patient. Only at rather high concentrations, i.e. 16 fold above the MIC, killing is achieved within 6 hours [30]. On the other hand, the production of essential virulence factors such as hemolysin, is reduced already at low, subinhibitory concentrations [33].

Resistance mechanisms of *Listeria* spp. to quinolones

L. monocytogenes is inherently resistant to quinolones of the first generation such as nalidixic acid [34], most

probably due to an inherited mutation in the active center of subunit A of the DNA gyrase [35]. At positions 88 and 84 two amino acids, namely Phe and Tyr, are found in *L. monocytogenes* instead of Asp/Glu and Ser residues in quinolone susceptible bacteria. Newer quinolones such as norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin [34], ofloxacin [36] and clinafloxacin [37], however, are rather active exerting a rapid and strong concentration dependant bactericidal effect. Especially moxifloxacin exerts excellent concentration-dependent bactericidal activities against a lot of different strains of *L. monocytogenes* exceeding definitely that of ampicillin [38].

Coumermycin, a substance which is not used in clinical medicine because of possible side-effects on blood coagulation, inhibits the subunit B of the bacterial gyrase. This agent is extremely active in vitro showing a bacteriostatic effect at quite low MIC values [39], [40].

Bactericidal activity of aminoglycosides and synergy with betalactams

Gentamicin is bactericidal against clinical isolates of *L. monocytogenes* [41]. In combination with betalactams a synergistic effect can be observed [25], [41]. In particular the killing of *Listeriae* can be definitely augmented, presumably because the penetration of aminoglycoside molecules through the compact network of the cell wall of the grampositive bacteria can be facilitated by the softening of the peptidoglycan layers by ampicillin. Furthermore, it has to be kept in mind that even at subinhibitory concentrations gentamicin is able to inhibit the production of essential bacterial virulence factors such as listeriolysin [42].

The impact of intracellular habitat of *L. monocytogenes* on activities of antibiotics

The basic structure of the host cell membrane is a lipid bilayer that acts as a definite barrier for free, passive diffusion of most but not all antibiotics [43]. Hence, most

antibiotics have only poor access to intracellular sites [44].

a) **Fosfomycin:** *L. monocytogenes* is naturally resistant in vitro to fosfomycin, because under the chosen conditions the drug is not taken up by the bacteria [45]. Additionally, some strains may possess target mutations as well as enzymes hydrating the compound rendering the bacterium highly resistant [46]. Paradoxically, in vivo *L. monocytogenes* appears to be susceptible, which is due to the fact that once in an cytosolic compartment certain virulence factors such as listeriolysin O, phospholipases and ActA are produced and coexpressed with an hexose-phosphate inward transporter transporting also fosfomycin into the bacterial cells, where it can exert its antibacterial effect [45].

b) **β -lactams:** Penicillin derivatives at least in their ionized form, reacting as weak organic acids, are able to diffuse slowly across the host membrane. The absolute amounts transported by this mechanism remains, however, is rather low. Storage takes place in the cytosol. Once the extracellular concentrations gets lower, the intracellular penicillin molecules can move in the opposite direction [43].

Amoxicillin is able to reduce the intracellular counts in infected cells at least when high extracellular concentrations are present [44].

In spite of the relatively low intracellular concentrations the efficiency of ampicillin, amoxicillin and meropenem against intracellular Listeriae is higher than against extracellular ones. In fact time dependant killing is achieved at least after 24 hours [44], [47], whereas azlocillin, mezlocillin and cephalosporins were ineffective [38]. One reason could be the fact that ampicillin in contrast to several other antibiotics was able to inhibit even in subinhibitory concentrations the production of hemolysin [31], a virulence factor which is essential for the intracellular life cycle of *L. monocytogenes* [6].

In experimental infections the effect of ampicillin was dependant on the dose and the time of the beginning of treatment. If treatment started rather early, the containment of bacteria was so effective, that an induction of an immune response to the bacteria could be prevented. Even in nude, athymic mice which are devoid of T lymphocytes a marked reduction of the bacterial load was achieved but complete eradication was not be enforced. Obviously, without the cooperation of the host's own immune system, a few bacteria survived and an exacerbation was observed in the immunocompromised mice few days after [48]. Another process contributing to the curing effect of ampicillin is a host defense strategy against intracellular pathogens, i.e. the induction of cell death, thereby eliminating the pathogen's intracellular niche. Pyroptosis, one such form of cell death, is triggered, when intracellular Listeriae are lysed by ampicillin [49].

c) **Gyrase inhibitors (quinolones/coumermycin):** The uptake of quinolones in eukaryotic cells takes place by passive diffusion, since these agents are amphiphilic; at least no carrier has been identified up to now. Whereas

ciprofloxacin is a subject of active efflux mechanisms, moxifloxacin obviously remains unaffected [50].

Moxifloxacin is concentration dependant rapidly bactericidal in vitro and active against intracellular Listeria in cultured cells [36], [47], [51]. Moxifloxacin has a rapid bactericidal effect against intracellular reservoirs of bacteria, whereas amoxicillin is only bacteriostatic [51]. Whereas ciprofloxacin is a substrate for efflux pumps, so that Listeriae residing in host cells expressing these transporters, moxifloxacin is not and remains active [52]. In experimental infections with infestation of internal organs where Listeriae reside mostly intracellular, moxifloxacin was superior to ciprofloxacin as well as to ampicillin [36]. Also in experimental models of Listeria meningitis, where bacteria predominantly multiply extracellularly, moxifloxacin was highly effective [53], [54].

The most active agent in the experimental setting was coumermycin, a gyrase B inhibitor. In experimental infections of the mouse coumermycin exerting a strong bactericidal activity in vitro could eliminate the bacteria even in immunocompromised nude mice [37]. This duty was accomplished so efficiently that the mice were not compelled to mount an immune reaction. In comparison to all other antibiotics tested it was by far the most active drug [55].

d) **Macrolides:** Erythromycin as well as other macrolides are bacteriostatic against *L. monocytogenes* in vitro [56]. The activity on intracellular bacteria is depending on the extracellular concentration [7]. Since it is known, that macrolides loose activity at low pH [57], it can be anticipated that it does not act on bacteria lying in the phagocytic vacuole. But once Listeriae have escaped into the cytoplasm, it can work. There is, however, a risk that the host cell has overexpressed efflux pumps due to genetic or environmental conditions; in such cases the macrolide antibiotic cannot reach the intracellular bacteria and is unable to cure the infection of those host cells [7].

In experimental infections of normal mice erythromycin is able to inhibit bacterial multiplication. In immunocompromised animals without the aid of the body's own defense system the protective effect was rather low [56].

e) **Tetracyclines:** In vitro tetracycline is bacteriostatic for *L. monocytogenes* [58]. In various mouse models (normal adult mice, nude, macrophage deprived animals, baby mice) this antibiotic was able to reduce the bacteria multiplication [58].

f) **Cotrimoxazole:** Trimethoprim is rather active in vitro against *L. monocytogenes*, whereas the sulfonamide component alone is rather inactive. In combination there is a synergistic effect [59].

This lipophilic drug is taken up by host cells via diffusion [43] and is active against intracellular Listeriae [38]. In experimental infections of normal and immunocompromised mice a definite therapeutic effect was observed [59].

g) **Aminoglycosides:** Aminoglycosides are taken up actively into host cells by fluid-phase pinocytosis. Thereby, substances dissolved in the extracellular fluid are internalized. The rate at which vesicles are transported varies from cell type to cell type but is in general relatively high.

Thus, after a few minutes and even hours the absolute amounts of intracellular drug is still low but can be remarkable after several days. After penetration the agents are not distributed evenly in the cytosol but are avidly trapped into the lysosomes. Within these longlasting reservoirs characterized by a low pH, aminoglycosides are stored in their cationic, i.e. microbiologically inactive forms, and are released only very slowly over a period of several days [43].

Consequently, aminoglycosides can only be active against extracellular bacteria. Indeed, no activity against intracellular Listeriae in host cells was detected [38], [44], [47]. Furthermore, a lack of synergism with ampicillin was also observed in experimental listeriosis when bacteria reside mainly intracellularly [60].

h) **Rifampicin:** Rifampicin is bactericidal for *L. monocytogenes* in vitro [61]. This lipophilic drug easily penetrates through the lipid bilayer of the host cell membrane [43], and it acts on intracellular *L. monocytogenes* about 200 times more effectively than ampicillin [62]. Furthermore, in experimental infection, when Listeriae multiply mainly in the reticuloendothelial organs such as spleen and liver, it is highly effective [62] and even in compromised hosts, such as nude mice, deprived of T-lymphocytes, rifampicin is able to reduce the bacterial load although a complete eradication was not achieved [63]. When bacteria multiply extracellularly, like in a meningitis model, it is, however less active than ampicillin [64].

i) **Glycopeptides (vancomycin/teicoplanin/daptomycin):** *Listeria monocytogenes* is susceptible in vitro to vancomycin and teicoplanin [65] as well as to daptomycin [66]. According to a recent report *Listeria grayi* is resistant to vancomycin [67].

In experimental infections of normal mice only a poor therapeutic activity of both vancomycin and teicoplanin [65] was seen and daptomycin was completely ineffective [66]. In athymic nude mice, which are immunocompromised, no activity of teicoplanin was seen [65].

One explanation for this discrepancy between in vitro and in vivo activities could be that these compounds are inactive against intracellular bacteria, because they may remain adherent to the cytoplasmic membrane of host cells after penetration, so that finally they do not come into contact with the microbe in the phagocytic vacuole or in the cytoplasm, respectively [43].

j) **Linezolid:** This oxazolidinone is active against all gram-positive bacteria including *Listeria monocytogenes* exerting a bacteriostatic effect [68]. In addition the growth of bacteria within host cells was inhibited [68]. Mice infected intravenously or intracerebrally were protected, although linezolid was less efficient than ampicillin [68]. Clinical experience with linezolid is limited but promising [69] possibly, because linezolid is found in high concentrations in CSF [70].

k) **Combinations:** Although in vitro a definite synergistic effect between β -lactams and aminoglycosides can be seen [23], [39], there is no such phenomenon observed on intracellular Listeriae [44]. Furthermore, a lack of synergism of gentamicin with ampicillin was also re-

gistered in experimental listeriosis [60], when bacteria reside mainly intracellularly.

Combination of antibiotics are better than single drugs not only because of a possible in vitro synergism; in case of a combination of ampicillin and rifampicin it could be argued that both agents would penetrate into the host cells as well as into different compartments of a host in different degrees and pathways and may exert additive effects.

Combinations of antibiotics with anti-inflammatory agents such as diclofenac may be synergistic [71].

The impact of the site of Listeria infection. Location at remote sites hardly accesible for antibiotics and the microenvironment on efficacy of antimicrobial therapy

CSF

Because of the blood brain barrier the entry of antibiotics into the CNS is restricted [72]. Whereas linezolid [70], rifampicin and quinolones [72] and especially moxifloxacin [73] are found in high concentrations in the cerebrospinal fluid, β -lactam antibiotics including ampicillin as well as amoxicillin penetrate the blood brain barrier only poorly [72]. Furthermore, in an inflammatory CSF the pH is rather low due to massive lactate production (>3.5 nmol/l) so that there is a risk that the labile β -lactam ring will be cleaved catalytically [74]. Ampicillin as well as amoxicillin, however, are relatively acid stable [75]. Macrolides also lose activity at low pH [57].

Granuloma

After a few days of infection with *L. monocytogenes* a granulomatous reaction is created by the host [13], [76], so the cells infected with Listeriae are surrounded by a dense wall of lymphocytes. Lipophilic compounds such as rifampicin and quinolones generally penetrate better such anatomic barriers than hydrophilic, ionized drugs [72]. Thus, complete eradication can only hardly be achieved by ampicillin.

Placental barrier

A few antibiotics only cross the placenta rapidly; among these is ampicillin. Other antibiotics such as piperacillin, cefoxitin, gentamicin, fosfomicin and vancomycin show incomplete transfer to the placenta where concentrations are lower in the cord than maternal plasma [77]. The placental transfer of macrolides is generally low; among them clarithromycin achieves the relatively highest concentrations [78].

Therapeutic options

Current therapeutic approaches

The local inoculation of *L. monocytogenes* in the skin or in the conjunctiva may induce localized infections in otherwise healthy people. In general, these superficial infections as well as the gastrointestinal manifestations are self-limited. Occasionally, however, such local infections need appropriate systemic therapy to resolve [79]. Antibiotics given to a patient are seldom able to overcome an infection without the contribution of the defense system [80]. Since systemic listeriosis occurs mainly in immunocompromised patients, it is quite conceivable that curing is not always possible, even if the best antibiotics have been chosen. Bactericidal activity of an antibiotic seems to be an advantage. Furthermore, in most cases, the infection happens in the CNS, which remains hardly accessible for most antibiotics due to the blood-brain-barrier. Consequently, the mortality remains high, i.e. up to 25%, and sequelae are frequent instead of rational antibiotic treatment.

Drug of primary choice remains ampicillin or even more so amoxicillin. Ureidopenicillins and carbapenems are also active but not superior to aminopenicillins. High doses, for example 2–3 g ampicillin given 3–4 times a day, are recommended. This regimen should be given at least for 2–3 weeks, even if the clinical conditions have improved in the meantime, to prevent a relapse. Because a total eradication is difficult to achieve without the body's own defense system, it is even prudent especially in cases of encephalitis in strongly immunocompromised patients to continue the regimen for about 2–6 weeks to avoid exacerbation; in this case an oral application of aminopenicillin could be advised to eliminate reservoirs of bacteria. In case of relapse the same regimen can be given, since this is not due to resistance, since until now the clinical isolates are unanimously susceptible to ampicillin. A poor response to rational antimicrobial therapy rather results from the remote place of infection hardly accessible for antibiotics or from the weak host's defense as a natural consequence of the underlying disease.

Because there is a synergistic effect *in vitro* of combinations of β -lactams with aminoglycosides against *L. monocytogenes* [25], [41], it has been widely accepted that this combination would be the therapy of choice especially for the treatment of CNS infections and endocarditis [25]. In cell cultures and animal experiments, however, this effect is lacking. A review of a large number of cases of CNS infections has shown a superiority of this combination [81]. But there is growing evidence that this combination does not provide a definite advantage over an aminopenicillin alone [82], [83]. But the debate is still ongoing [84]. In any case the aminoglycoside has to be omitted after 2 weeks, because of potential nephrotoxicity, especially in the elderly.

In case of allergy to penicillins parenteral application of cotrimoxazole (for example 80 mg trimethoprim and

400 mg sulfamethoxazole q.i.d for six weeks), is recommended as therapy of second choice, since this drug combination is bactericidal for *L. monocytogenes* *in vitro* and is accumulated within host cells and finds access to the CNS as well as to the placenta. This drug or trimethoprim alone can also be used for oral follow-up treatment after an initial parenteral application also after initial therapy with amoxicillin [7], [25], when the predisposing factors are still persisting so that an endogenous exacerbation could take place. Even a combination of amoxicillin and cotrimoxazole could be advised [85]. Because of a possible risk for the fetus – at least shown by animal experiments – cotrimoxazole should be avoided during pregnancy.

On the other hand a combination of amoxicillin with rifampicin in the therapy of cerebral listeriosis gives sense, since rifampicin can penetrate quite well into the CSF and can act on intracellular bacteria [25]. A final view, however, is impossible, because the clinical experience is limited.

Macrolides and tetracyclines are no more recommended today for the therapy of human listeriosis [86].

Unfortunately, in some guidelines it has been recommended to start calculated therapy of presumptive bacterial meningitis with ceftriaxone as monotherapy [16]. This cephalosporine is, however, inherently inactive against *L. monocytogenes*. Hence, the beginning of an effective antimicrobial therapy of listeric meningitis is delayed which implicates a worse outcome. Therefore, ampicillin should be added according to the German Society of Neurology [87], in particular in those individuals prone to *Listeria* infections, namely in aged people and in immunocompromised patients.

Future trends (including novel agents/ approaches)

There is ample evidence that moxifloxacin is qualified to replace ampicillin as therapy of the first choice. It is rapidly bactericidal at low concentrations [36], [47], [51], it is accumulated in host cells and remains active under such special conditions [47]. In animal experiments it is able to reduce the bacterial load – even in immunocompromised animals [36], [53], [54]. Furthermore, it is highly active in CSF [73]. Since large clinical experience is still lacking, it cannot be unanimously recommended for therapy of listeriosis yet. Successful clinical experience exists at least with another, similar quinolone namely levofloxacin [88]. It should be kept in mind, however, that quinolones are not allowed during pregnancy.

Adjunctive therapy

Some authorities have proposed a treatment with dexamethasone to reduce an excessive inflammatory response during bacterial meningitis [16], [87], which otherwise may have deleterious effects. On the other hand this co-medication may have some influence on the pharmaco-

dynamics of some antibiotics, especially vancomycin [16], [72], [89].

Combinations of antibiotics with other anti-inflammatory agents such as diclofenac may have synergistic effects with antibiotics [71].

Unconventional approaches

In spite of a rational antibiotic therapy the prognosis of Listeria infections remains poor. Therefore, it is quite logic to look for other even unconventional approaches. Lactoferrin has several interesting effects on *L. monocytogenes* in vitro [90], on Listeriae in cell cultures [91] as well as on experimental listeriosis [92]. Obviously, it exerts not only a direct antibacterial activity but also modulates the host defense. This aspect seems to be of special importance in the therapy of listeriosis occurring predominantly in patients with a compromised immune system. In general it is difficult yet to direct the immune system in the desired way without triggering an opposite effect. Vaccination strategies to prevent or to fight against listeriosis are not yet clinically available but experimental evidence is promising [93].

Notes

Competing interests

The author has received honoraria for lectures and conferences from Bayer, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer.

Funding

For this review the author has not received any grants.

References

- Graves LM, Hesel LO, Steigerwalt AG, Morey RE, Daneshvar MI, Roof SE, Orsi RH, Fortes ED, Milillo SR, den Bakker HC, Wiedmann M, Swaminathan B, Saunders BD. *Listeria marthii* sp. nov., isolated from the natural environment, Finger Lakes National Forest. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2010 Jun;60(Pt 6):1280-8. DOI: 10.1099/ijs.0.014118-0
- Leclercq A, Clermont D, Bizet C, Grimont PA, Le Flèche-Matéos A, Roche SM, Buchrieser C, Cadet-Daniel V, Le Monnier A, Lecuit M, Allerberger F. *Listeria rocourtiae* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2010 Sep;60(Pt 9):2210-4. DOI: 10.1099/ijs.0.017376-0
- Bertsch D, Rau J, Eugster MR, Haug MC, Lawson PA, Lacroix C, Meile L. *Listeria fleischmannii* sp. nov., isolated from cheese. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2013 Feb;63(Pt 2):526-32. DOI: 10.1099/ijs.0.036947-0
- Lang Halter E, Neuhaus K, Scherer S. *Listeria weihenstephanensis* sp. nov., isolated from the water plant *Lemna trisulca* taken from a freshwater pond. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2013 Feb;63(Pt 2):641-7. DOI: 10.1099/ijs.0.036830-0
- Hof H. Virulence of different strains of *Listeria monocytogenes* serovar 1/2a. *Med Microbiol Immunol*. 1984;173(4):207-18. DOI: 10.1007/BF02122112
- Portnoy DA, Chakraborty T, Goebel W, Cossart P. Molecular determinants of *Listeria monocytogenes* pathogenesis. *Infect Immun*. 1992 Apr;60(4):1263-7.
- Hof H, Nichterlein T, Kretschmar M. Management of listeriosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 1997 Apr;10(2):345-57.
- Gottlieb CT, Thomsen LE, Ingmer H, Mygind PH, Kristensen HH, Gram L. Antimicrobial peptides effectively kill a broad spectrum of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus* strains independently of origin, sub-type, or virulence factor expression. *BMC Microbiol*. 2008;8:205. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-205
- Kretschmar M, Budeanu C, Nichterlein T, Hof H. Wirkung von Mersacidin auf die murine Listeriose. *Chemotherapie Journal*. 1993;2:176-8.
- Pizarro-Cerdá J, Kühbacher A, Cossart P. Entry of *Listeria monocytogenes* in mammalian epithelial cells: an updated view. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2012 Nov;2(11):pii:a010009. DOI: 10.1101/cshperspect.a010009
- Geginat G, Grauling-Halama S. Innate immunity. In: Liu D, editor. *Handbook of Listeria monocytogenes*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2008. p. 397-426. DOI: 10.1201/9781420051414.ch13
- Bakardjiev AI, Stacy BA, Portnoy DA. Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the guinea pig placenta and role of cell-to-cell spread in fetal infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005 Jun;191(11):1889-97. DOI: 10.1086/430090
- Schlüter D, Chahoud S, Lassmann H, Schumann A, Hof H, Deckert-Schlüter M. Intracerebral targets and immunomodulation of murine *Listeria monocytogenes* meningoencephalitis. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 1996 Jan;55(1):14-24. DOI: 10.1097/00005072-199601000-00002
- Pamer EG. Immune responses to *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2004 Oct;4(10):812-23. DOI: 10.1038/nri1461
- Heymer B, Hof H, Emmerling P, Finger H. Morphology and time course of experimental listeriosis in nude mice. *Infect Immun*. 1976 Sep;14(3):832-5.
- Nudelman Y, Tunkel AR. Bacterial meningitis: epidemiology, pathogenesis and management update. *Drugs*. 2009;69(18):2577-96. DOI: 10.2165/11530590-000000000-00000
- Koch J, Stark K. Significant increase of listeriosis in Germany – epidemiological patterns 2001–2005. *Euro Surveill*. 2006;11(6):85-8.
- Murphy G, Schmidt-Martin D, Hynes BG, Harney S. Systemic listeriosis with adalimumab therapy. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 2009 Oct;15(7):369-70. DOI: 10.1097/RHU.0b013e3181bf93cd
- Hof H, Lampidis R. Retrospective evidence for nosocomial *Listeria* infection. *J Hosp Infect*. 2001 Aug;48(4):321-2. DOI: 10.1053/jhin.2001.0999
- Painter J, Slutsker L. Listeriosis in humans. In: Ryser ET, Marth EH, editors. *Listeria, listeriosis, and Food Safety*. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2007. p. 85-109. DOI: 10.1201/9781420015188.ch4
- Janakiraman V. Listeriosis in pregnancy: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;1(4):179-85.
- Hof H, Szabo K, Becker B. Epidemiologie der Listeriose in Deutschland – im Wandel und dennoch nicht beachtet [Epidemiology of listeriosis in Germany: a changing but ignored pattern]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr*. 2007 Jun;132(24):1343-8. DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-982034
- Jorgensen JH, Hindler JA, Bernard K, Citron DM, Cockerill FR III, Fritsche TR, et al. Methods for antimicrobial dilution and disk susceptibility testing of infrequently isolated or fastidious bacteria. Approved guideline. Second edition. M-45-A2. Vol. 30, no. 18. CLSI; 2010. p. 29.

24. Morvan A, Moubareck C, Leclercq A, Hervé-Bazin M, Bremont S, Lecuit M, Courvalin P, Le Monnier A. Antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from humans in France. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010 Jun;54(6):2728-31. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01557-09
25. Hof H. An update on the medical management of listeriosis. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2004 Aug;5(8):1727-35. DOI: 10.1517/14656566.5.8.1727
26. Sand S, Becker B, Hof H. Derzeit keine erkennbare Gefahr der Resistenzentwicklung bei *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Arch Lebensmittelhyg*. 2009;60(1):18-22.
27. Charpentier E, Courvalin P. Antibiotic resistance in *Listeria* spp. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1999 Sep;43(9):2103-8.
28. Njagi LW, Mbuthia PG, Bebora LC, Nyaga PN, Minga U, Olsen JE. Sensitivity of *Listeria* species, recovered from indigenous chickens to antibiotics and disinfectants. *East Afr Med J*. 2004 Oct;81(10):534-7. DOI: 10.4314/eamj.v81i10.9237
29. Hakenbeck R, Hof H. Relatedness of penicillin-binding proteins from various *Listeria* species. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 1991 Nov;68(2):191-5. DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1991.tb04595.x
30. Korsak D, Markiewicz Z, Gutkind GO, Ayala JA. Identification of the full set of *Listeria monocytogenes* penicillin-binding proteins and characterization of PBP2 (Lmo2812). *BMC Microbiol*. 2010;10:239. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-239
31. Hof H. Listeriosis: therapeutic options. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2003 Apr;35(3):203-5. DOI: 10.1016/S0928-8244(02)00466-2
32. Gückl H, Hof H. Vergleich der therapeutischen Wirkung von verschiedenen Penicillinderivaten und Imipenem bei der experimentellen Listeriose. *Z antimikrob antineoplast Chemother*. 1986;4:83-7.
33. Nichterlein T, Domann E, Kretschmar M, Bauer M, Hlawatsch A, Hof H, Chakraborty T. Subinhibitory concentrations of beta-lactams and other cell-wall antibiotics inhibit listeriolysin production by *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 1996 May;7(1):75-81.
34. Hof H, Zinn U, Ströder J. Die Wirkung von Chinolinderivaten auf *Listerien*. *Z antimikrob antineoplast Chemother*. 1985;3:115-20.
35. Lampidis R, Kostrewa D, Hof H. Molecular characterization of the genes encoding DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2002 Jun;49(6):917-24. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkf065
36. Hof H, Fabrig J. Die Wirkung von Gyrase-Hemmern auf *Listerien*. *Fortschr antimikrob antineoplast Chemother*. 1987;6-10:1781-5.
37. Nichterlein T, Bornitz F, Kretschmar M, Hof H. Clinafloxacin (CL 960) is superior to standard therapeutics in the treatment of murine listeriosis and salmonellosis. *Zentralbl Bakteriol*. 1997 Oct;286(3):401-12.
38. Nichterlein T, Bornitz F, Kretschmar M, et al. The activity of moxifloxacin, a new 8-methoxyquinolone, against strains of salmonellae and *Listeria monocytogenes* in vitro, in mouse infections and in infected tissue cells. In: Adam D, Finch R, editors. *Moxifloxacin in practice*. Vol. 2. Oxford: Maxim Medical; 1999. p. 71-82.
39. Hof H. The effect of coumermycin on experimental listeriosis. *J Infect*. 1986 Jul;13(1):17-23. DOI: 10.1016/S0163-4453(86)92141-9
40. Nichterlein T, Hof H. Effect of various antibiotics on *Listeria monocytogenes* multiplying in L 929 cells. *Infection*. 1991;19 Suppl 4:S234-8. DOI: 10.1007/BF01644040
41. Emmerling P, Schröter G, Hof H. Listeriose. *Klinik, Epidemiologie, Pathogenese, Infektabwehr und Chemotherapie*. *Med Welt*. 1985;35:1517-21.
42. Nichterlein T, Domann E, Bauer M, Hlawatsch A, Kretschmar M, Chakraborty T, Hof H. Subinhibitory concentrations of gentamicin reduce production of listeriolysin, the main virulence factor of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 1997 Apr;3(2):270-272.
43. Hof H. Antibiotic treatment of infections with intracellular bacteria. In: Paradise L, Friedman H, Bendinelli M, editors. *Opportunistic intracellular bacteria and immunity*. New York: Plenum Press; 1999. (*Infectious Agents and Pathogenesis*). p. 281-93.
44. Michelet C, Avril JL, Cartier F, Berche P. Inhibition of intracellular growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* by antibiotics. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1994 Mar;38(3):438-46. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.38.3.438
45. Scotti M, Lacharme-Lora L, Wagner M, Chico-Calero I, Losito P, Vázquez-Boland JA. Coexpression of virulence and fosfomycin susceptibility in *Listeria*: molecular basis of an antimicrobial in vitro-in vivo paradox. *Nat Med*. 2006 May;12(5):515-7. DOI: 10.1038/nm1396
46. Fillgrove KL, Pakhomova S, Schaab MR, Newcomer ME, Armstrong RN. Structure and mechanism of the genomically encoded fosfomycin resistance protein, FosX, from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Biochemistry*. 2007 Jul;46(27):8110-20. DOI: 10.1021/bi700625p
47. Carryn S, Van Bambeke F, Mingeot-Leclercq MP, Tulkens PM. Activity of beta-lactams (ampicillin, meropenem), gentamicin, azithromycin and moxifloxacin against intracellular *Listeria monocytogenes* in a 24 h THP-1 human macrophage model. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2003 Apr;51(4):1051-2. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkg189
48. Emmerling P, Hof H, Finger H. Infektabwehr von *Listeria monocytogenes* bei normalen und immundefekten Mäusen unter Ampicillintherapie [Resistance to infection with *Listeria monocytogenes* in normal and thymusless mice treated with ampicillin]. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig A*. 1978 Apr;240(3):339-46.
49. Sauer JD, Witte CE, Zemansky J, Hanson B, Lauer P, Portnoy DA. *Listeria monocytogenes* triggers AIM2-mediated pyroptosis upon infrequent bacteriolysis in the macrophage cytosol. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2010 May;7(5):412-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2010.04.004
50. Marquez B, Caceres NE, Mingeot-Leclercq MP, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F. Identification of the efflux transporter of the fluoroquinolone antibiotic ciprofloxacin in murine macrophages: studies with ciprofloxacin-resistant cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009 Jun;53(6):2410-6. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01428-08
51. Grayo S, Join-Lambert O, Desroches MC, Le Monnier A. Comparison of the in vitro efficacies of moxifloxacin and amoxicillin against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008 May;52(5):1697-702. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.01211-07
52. Lismond A, Tulkens PM, Mingeot-Leclercq MP, Courvalin P, Van Bambeke F. Cooperation between prokaryotic (Lde) and eukaryotic (MRP) efflux transporters in J774 macrophages infected with *Listeria monocytogenes*: studies with ciprofloxacin and moxifloxacin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008 Sep;52(9):3040-6. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00105-08
53. Grayo S, Lott-Desroches MC, Dussurget O, Respaud R, Fontanet A, Join-Lambert O, Singlas E, Le Monnier A. Rapid eradication of *Listeria monocytogenes* by moxifloxacin in a murine model of central nervous system listeriosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008 Sep;52(9):3210-5. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00177-08

54. Sipahi OR, Turhan T, Pullukcu H, Calik S, Tasbakan M, Sipahi H, Arda B, Yamazhan T, Ulusoy S. Moxifloxacin versus ampicillin + gentamicin in the therapy of experimental *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008 Mar;61(3):670-3. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkn001
55. Hof H, Waldenmeier G. Therapy of experimental listeriosis—an evaluation of different antibiotics. *Infection*. 1988;16 Suppl 2:S171-4. DOI: 10.1007/BF01639743
56. Strauss R, Hof H, Ströder J. Antibiotikatherapie im abwehrgeschwächten Wirt – dargestellt am Modell der Listeriose der Maus: Die Wirkung von Erythromycin, anderen Makrolidantibiotika und verwandten Antibiotika. *Z antimikrob antineoplast Chemother*. 1985;3:107-14.
57. Siebor E, Kazmierczak A. Factors influencing the activity of macrolide antibiotics in vitro. In: Bryskier AJ, Butzler JP, Neu HC, Tulkens PM, editors. *Macrolides. Chemistry, pharmacology and clinical use*. Paris: Arnette Blackwell; 1993. p. 197-203.
58. Hof H, Kuhn B. Antibiotikatherapie im abwehrgeschwächten Wirt dargestellt am Modell der Listeriose der Maus. II. Die Wirkung von Tetrazyklin [Antibiotic therapy in the compromised host—presented as a model for listeriosis in the mouse. II. Effect of tetracycline]. *Immun Infekt*. 1983 Mar;11(2):61-4.
59. Kawaler B, Hof H. Murine model for therapy of listeriosis in the compromised host. IV. Cotrimoxazole. *Chemotherapy*. 1985;31(5):366-71.
60. Hof H, Gückel H. Lack of synergism of ampicillin and gentamicin in experimental listeriosis. *Infection*. 1987 Jan-Feb;15(1):40-1. DOI: 10.1007/BF01646117
61. Tuazon CU, Shamsuddin D, Miller H. Antibiotic susceptibility and synergy of clinical isolates of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1982 Mar;21(3):525-7. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.21.3.525
62. Vischer WA, Rominger C. Rifampicin against experimental listeriosis in the mouse. *Chemotherapy*. 1978;24(2):104-11. DOI: 10.1159/000237768
63. Hof H, Emmerling P. Murine model for therapy of listeriosis in the compromised host. III. The effect of rifampicin. *Chemotherapy*. 1984;30(2):125-30. DOI: 10.1159/000238258
64. Scheld WM, Fletcher DD, Fink FN, Sande MA. Response to therapy in an experimental rabbit model of meningitis due to *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Infect Dis*. 1979 Sep;140(3):287-94. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/140.3.287
65. Berner R, Hof H. Therapeutic activity of teicoplanin on experimental listeriosis compared with that of vancomycin and ampicillin. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg A*. 1988 Mar;268(1):50-6.
66. Hof H. Die Wirksamkeit von Daptomycin (LY 146032) auf *Listeria* in vitro und in vivo. *Z antimikrob antineoplast Chemother*. 1989;7:35-8.
67. Salimnia H, Patel D, Lephart PR, Fairfax MR, Chandrasekar PH. *Listeria grayi*: vancomycin-resistant, gram-positive rod causing bacteremia in a stem cell transplant recipient. *Transpl Infect Dis*. 2010 Dec;12(6):526-8. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3062.2010.00539.x
68. Callapina M, Kretschmar M, Dietz A, Mosbach C, Hof H, Nichterlein T. Systemic and intracerebral infections of mice with *Listeria monocytogenes* successfully treated with linezolid. *J Chemother*. 2001 Jun;13(3):265-9.
69. Manfredi R. Linezolid activity against disseminated *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis and central nervous system abscesses: focus on early drug myelotoxicity. *Curr Drug Saf*. 2007 May;2(2):141-5. DOI: 10.2174/157488607780598304
70. Myrianthefs P, Markantonis SL, Vlachos K, Anagnostaki M, Boutzouka E, Panidis D, Baltopoulos G. Serum and cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of linezolid in neurosurgical patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2006 Dec;50(12):3971-6. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00051-06
71. Dutta NK, Mazumdar K, Park JH. In vitro synergistic effect of gentamicin with the anti-inflammatory agent diclofenac against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Lett Appl Microbiol*. 2009 Jun;48(6):783-5. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02588.x
72. Lutsar I, McCracken GH Jr, Friedland IR. Antibiotic pharmacodynamics in cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998 Nov;27(5):1117-27. DOI: 10.1086/515003
73. Kanellakopoulou K, Pagoulatou A, Stroumpoulis K, Vafiadou M, Kranidioti H, Giamarellou H, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ. Pharmacokinetics of moxifloxacin in non-inflamed cerebrospinal fluid of humans: implication for a bactericidal effect. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2008 Jun;61(6):1328-31. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkn110
74. Hou JP, Poole JW. Kinetics and mechanism of degradation of ampicillin in solution. *J Pharm Sci*. 1969 Apr;58(4):447-54. DOI: 10.1002/jps.2600580412
75. Jerzsele A, Nagy G. The stability of amoxicillin trihydrate and potassium clavulanate combination in aqueous solutions. *Acta Vet Hung*. 2009 Dec;57(4):485-93. DOI: 10.1556/AVet.57.2009.4.3
76. Heymer B, Wirsing von König CH, Finger H, Hof H, Emmerling P. Histomorphology of experimental listeriosis. *Infection*. 1988;16 Suppl 2:S106-11. DOI: 10.1007/BF01639731
77. Pacifici GM. Placental transfer of antibiotics administered to the mother: a review. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2006 Feb;44(2):57-63. DOI: 10.5414/CP44057
78. Witt A, Sommer EM, Cichna M, Postlbauer K, Widhalm A, Gregor H, Reisenberger K. Placental passage of clarithromycin surpasses other macrolide antibiotics. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003 Mar;188(3):816-9. DOI: 10.1067/mob.2003.171
79. Altaie R, Fahy GT, Cormican M. Failure of *Listeria monocytogenes* keratitis to respond to topical ofloxacin. *Cornea*. 2006 Aug;25(7):849-50. DOI: 10.1097/01.ico.0000230251.19847.3a
80. Van den Broek PJ. Antibiotics and phagocytic cells. In: Raoult D, editor. *Antimicrobial agents and intracellular pathogens*. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1993. p 1-22.
81. Mylonakis E, Hohmann EL, Calderwood SB. Central nervous system infection with *Listeria monocytogenes*. 33 years' experience at a general hospital and review of 776 episodes from the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 1998 Sep;77(5):313-36. DOI: 10.1097/00005792-199809000-00002
82. Mitjà O, Pigrau C, Ruiz I, Vidal X, Almirante B, Planes AM, Molina I, Rodríguez D, Pahissa A. Predictors of mortality and impact of aminoglycosides on outcome in listeriosis in a retrospective cohort study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009 Aug;64(2):416-23. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkp180
83. Muñoz P, Rojas L, Bunsow E, Saez E, Sánchez-Cambronero L, Alcalá L, Rodríguez-Creixems M, Bouza E. Listeriosis: An emerging public health problem especially among the elderly. *J Infect*. 2012 Jan;64(1):19-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinf.2011.10.006
84. Lorber B. Comment on: Predictors of mortality and impact of aminoglycosides on outcome in listeriosis in a retrospective cohort study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010 Apr;65(4):810. DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkp497
85. Clauss HE, Lorber B. Central nervous system infection with *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*. 2008 Jul;10(4):300-6. DOI: 10.1007/s11908-008-0049-0

86. Lorber B. *Listeria monocytogenes*. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. Principles and practice of infectious diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2005. p. 2478-84.
87. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Neurologie. Ambulant erworbene bakterielle (eitrige) Meningoenzephalitis. AWMF-Registernummer 030/089. 2012. (Leitlinien für Diagnostik und Therapie in der Neurologie). Available from: <http://www.awmf.org/leitlinien/detail/ll/030-089.html>
88. Viale P, Furlanut M, Cristini F, Cadeo B, Pavan F, Pea F. Major role of levofloxacin in the treatment of a case of *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2007 May;58(1):137-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2006.11.010
89. Cabellos C, Martinez-Lacasa J, Martos A, Tubau F, Fernández A, Viladrich PF, Gudiol F. Influence of dexamethasone on efficacy of ceftriaxone and vancomycin therapy in experimental pneumococcal meningitis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1995 Sep;39(9):2158-60. DOI: 10.1128/AAC.39.9.2158
90. Branen JK, Davidson PM. Enhancement of nisin, lysozyme, and monolaurin antimicrobial activities by ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and lactoferrin. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2004 Jan;90(1):63-74. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00172-7
91. Longhi C, Conte MP, Penta M, Cossu A, Antonini G, Superti F, Seganti L. Lactoferricin influences early events of *Listeria monocytogenes* infection in THP-1 human macrophages. *J Med Microbiol*. 2004 Feb;53(Pt 2):87-91. DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.05367-0
92. Palumbo D, Iannaccone M, Porta A, Capparelli R. Experimental antibacterial therapy with puroindolines, lactoferrin and lysozyme in *Listeria monocytogenes*-infected mice. *Microbes Infect*. 2010 Jul;12(7):538-45. DOI: 10.1016/j.micinf.2010.03.010
93. Berchtold C, Panthel K, Jellbauer S, Köhn B, Roeder E, Partilla M, Heesemann J, Endres S, Bourquin C, Rüssmann H. Superior protective immunity against murine listeriosis by combined vaccination with CpG DNA and recombinant *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Infect Immun*. 2009 Dec;77(12):5501-8. DOI: 10.1128/IAI.00700-09

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. med. Herbert Hof
Labor Limbach, Im Breitspiel 15, 69126 Heidelberg,
Germany, Phone: +49 6221 34 32 342, Fax: +49 6221
34 32 220
herbert.hof@labor-limbach.de

Please cite as

Hof H. Chemotherapy of *Listeria infections*. *GMS Infect Dis*. 2013;1:Doc06.
DOI: 10.3205/id000006, URN: urn:nbn:de:0183-id0000064

This article is freely available from

<http://www.egms.de/en/journals/id/2013-1/id000006.shtml>

Published: 2013-10-10

Copyright

©2013 Hof. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/deed.en>). You are free: to Share – to copy, distribute and transmit the work, provided the original author and source are credited.