

Non-surgical oncology – Guidelines on Parenteral Nutrition, Chapter 19

Nichtchirurgische Onkologie – Leitlinie Parenterale Ernährung, Kapitel 19

Abstract

Reduced nutritional state is associated with unfavourable outcomes and a lower quality of life in patients with malignancies. Patients with active tumour disease frequently have insufficient food intake. The resting energy expenditure in cancer patients can be increased, decreased, or remain unchanged compared to predicted values. Tumours may result in varying degrees of systemic pro-inflammatory processes with secondary effects on all significant metabolic pathways. Therapeutic objectives are to stabilise nutritional state with oral/enteral nutrition and parenteral nutrition (PN) and thus to prevent or reduce progressive weight loss. The maintenance or improvement of quality of life, and the increase in the effectiveness and a reduction in the side-effects of antitumor therapy are further objectives. Indications for PN in tumour patients are essentially identical to those in patients with benign illnesses, with preference given to oral or enteral nutrition when feasible. A combined nutritional concept is preferred if oral or enteral nutrition are possible but not sufficient. There are generally no accepted standards for ideal energy and nutrient intakes in oncological patients, particularly when exclusive artificial nutrition is administered. The use of PN as a general accompaniment to radiotherapy or chemotherapy is not indicated, but PN is indicated in chronic severe radiogenic enteritis or after allogenic transplantation with pronounced mucositis or GvH-related gastrointestinal damage for prolonged periods, with particular attention to increased risk of bleeding and infection. No PN is necessary in the terminal phase.

Keywords: tumour, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, stem cell transplantation

Zusammenfassung

Ein reduzierter Ernährungszustand ist mit einer eingeschränkten Prognose und verminderter Lebensqualität assoziiert. Patienten mit aktiver Tumorerkrankung haben häufig eine unzureichende Nährstoffaufnahme. Der Ruhe-Energieumsatz kann im Vergleich zum Erwartungswert unverändert, gesteigert oder vermindert sein. Bei manifesten Tumorerkrankungen kommt es in unterschiedlichem Ausmaß zu systemischen proinflammatorischen Prozessen mit sekundären Auswirkungen auf alle wesentlichen Stoffwechselwege. Durch eine parenterale Ernährung (PE) soll der Ernährungszustand stabilisiert und ein fortschreitender Gewichtsverlust verhindert oder reduziert werden. Weitere Ziele sind der Erhalt oder eine Verbesserung der Lebensqualität und eine Erhöhung der Effektivität sowie eine Reduktion von Nebenwirkungen der antitumoralen Therapie. Prinzipiell sind die Indikationen für eine PE bei Tumorpatienten identisch mit den Indikationen bei Patienten mit gutartigen Erkrankungen, wobei bei Tumorpatienten eine orale oder enterale Nahrungszufuhr immer vor einer PE eingesetzt werden sollte. Bei möglicher oraler oder enteraler Zufuhr ergibt sich ein kombiniertes Ernährungskonzept. Für

J. Arends¹
G. Zuercher²
A. Dossett¹
R. Fietkau³
M. Hug⁴
I. Schmid⁵
E. Shang⁶
A. Zander⁷
Working group for developing the guidelines for parenteral nutrition of The German Association for Nutritional Medicine

1 Dept. of Medical Oncology, Tumour Biology Center, University of Freiburg, Germany

2 Dept. of Internal Medicine I, University of Freiburg, Germany

3 Depts. Paediatric Surgery and Radiation Therapy, University of Rostock, Germany

4 Pharmacy, University Hospital Freiburg, Germany

5 Dept. Metabolic Diseases & Nutritional Medicine, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital, University of Munich, Germany

6 Dept Surgery, University Hospital of Mannheim, Germany

7 Dept. of Oncology and Haematology, University of Hamburg, Germany

die optimale Energie- und Nährstoffzufuhr onkologischer Patienten, besonders für die ausschließliche künstliche Ernährung, gibt es keine allgemein akzeptierten Standards. Der generelle Einsatz einer PE begleitend zum Strahlentherapieverfahren oder zur Chemotherapie ist nicht sinnvoll, ist jedoch indiziert bei chronischer schwerer radiogener Enteritis oder nach allogener Transplantation wegen einer ausgeprägten Mukositis und GvH-bedingten Gastrointestinalschäden mit besonderer Rücksicht auf das erhöhte Blutungs- und Infektionsrisiko. In der Sterbephase ist keine PE erforderlich.

Schlüsselwörter: Tumor, Radiotherapie, Chemotherapie, Stammzelltransplantation

The nutritional state influences the clinical outcome

- Reduced nutritional state is associated with unfavourable outcomes and a lower quality of life in patients with malignancies (IIa).

Commentary

Adult tumour patients who have lost weight or are malnourished show an unfavorable outcome in longitudinal studies. The response to antitumor treatment is decreased while treatment-associated side effects are more frequent; physical performance and quality of life are compromised; overall survival is significantly shorter than in patients without weight loss [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]. Cachexia is the most common cause of death in tumour patients other than sepsis [12]. In a recent study body nitrogen content was found to be the strongest predictor for protection against bone marrow toxicity during chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer [13].

The effect of malnutrition on the rate of cure in children with cancer is controversial. While a significantly lower rate of healing is reported in malnourished patients (Ib) [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], there appears to be no influence on patients' survival (IIa) [19], [20], [21], [22]. These differences depend on the various definitions of malnutrition, the type and extent of the tumour, tumour therapy, supportive measures and the socio-economic status of the family. Malnutrition is known to decrease immunocompetence (IIa) [23], [24], decrease the tolerance to chemotherapy (IIa) [25] and increase the rate of infection (IIa) [26], [27]. Information on organ dysfunction as a result of malnutrition in children with cancer is scarce. In malnourished children there is an increased risk of cardiomyopathy after the administration of anthracyclines (IV) [28].

Influence of malignancies on energy expenditure

- Patients with active tumours frequently have insufficient food intake (II).

- The resting energy expenditure in cancer patients can be increased, decreased, or remain unchanged in comparison to the predicted value (II).

Commentary

Food intake is lower than the usual even in patients with early stage tumour disease, and there is often a large discrepancy between the actual energy and protein intake and the calculated requirements in advanced tumour stages [10], [29].

In approximately 25% of patients with active tumours, resting energy expenditure (REE), as measured by indirect calorimetry, is more than 10% above, and in another 25% more than 10% below the predicted value. A prediction as to the direction and extent of the deviation is not possible [30], [31]. The mean value of total energy expenditure in cancer patients is similar to that of a healthy reference group [31], [32]. Studies in patients with various tumour entities showed a normal REE in people with stomach or colorectal carcinomas, and an increased REE in patients with pancreatic or bronchial carcinomas [33], [34], [35], [36]. More detailed investigations in patients with advanced bronchial and pancreatic carcinomas revealed an increased REE coupled with diminished physical activity and a slightly lower overall energy expenditure when compared to healthy subjects [35], [36].

Therefore, in adult patients normal energy expenditure should be assumed if the actual resting energy expenditure cannot be measured in individual cases. Formulae (i.e. Harris Benedict, cf. chapter "Energy expenditure and energy intake" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000084.shtml>)) may be used to calculate the normal resting energy expenditure. In patients, the overall energy requirement is also determined by physical activity and may be estimated as 100–120% of REE (cf. chapters "Energy expenditure and energy intake" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000084.shtml>) and "Neonatology/Paediatrics" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000074.shtml>) for children's energy expenditure).

Studies have shown that children with leukaemia have a near normal resting energy expenditure at diagnosis and during anti-cancer treatment [24], [37], [38], [39], [40]. Resting energy expenditure, however, is increased in leukaemic children with large tumour mass [38], and

in children with solid tumours [24], [41]. However, the data are inconsistent.

Malignancies may influence metabolic parameters

Clinically-relevant metabolic changes

Manifest tumours result in varying degrees of systemic pro-inflammatory processes with secondary effects on all significant metabolic pathways [42]. A large body of data suggests that the primary reaction of the tumour-bearing host is to release cytokines, catabolic hormones and other regulatory peptides locally and systemically [42], [43], [44].

The resulting systemic inflammatory reaction contributes significantly to the loss of appetite [45], [46] and weight [47], [48], [49], [50]. These cytokine-induced metabolic changes prevent a recovery of body cell mass [51], and are associated with reduced life expectancy [52] in cachectic patients [52], [53].

Effects on carbohydrate metabolism

- Insulin resistance and increased glucose production can often be detected in tumour patients (II).

Commentary

Impaired glucose tolerance due to insulin resistance is common in tumour patients [54]. The plasma ratio of insulin to catabolic hormones is abnormal with typical findings of increased cortisol secretion and a decreased insulin-cortisol ratio [44], [55]. This results in increased glucose turnover and gluconeogenesis [43]. Concomitant medication with high-dose glucocorticoids intensifies these changes.

Effects on lipid metabolism

- Weight loss in cancer patients is accompanied by a loss of lipid stores and increased serum triglycerides. The ability to oxidise lipids is normal to increased (II).

Commentary

The reasons for changes in lipid metabolism have not yet been clearly determined [44] although increased lipolysis is often observed [56], [57]. Increased [57], [58], [59] or at least normal [60], lipid oxidation is often detectable at the same time, while glucose oxidation is compromised. These observations may support the recommendation to increase the lipids to glucose ratio when composing nutrition for cancer patients.

Effects on protein metabolism

- Protein expenditure is usually increased, resulting in a loss of muscle mass and an increased production of acute phase proteins (II).

Commentary

While the underlying processes are complex, usually increases in overall body protein turnover and in proteolysis are measured [43], [61]. The ATP consuming and ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis system of proteasomes is activated at an early stage [62], [63], [64]. These changes are triggered by inflammatory mediators and, possibly, additional substances released by the tumour [65], [66].

Treatment aims for parenteral nutrition (PN) in cancer patients

- PN should stabilise the nutritional state and prevent or reduce progressive weight loss (C).
- PN should maintain or improve the quality of life (C).
- PN might increase the effectivity and reduce the side-effects of anti-cancer therapies (C).

Commentary

After curative antitumour treatment, PN can enhance survival chances in patients with severe gastrointestinal defects e.g. with radiation enteritis [67]. Due to the accompanying non-specific inflammatory processes in patients with active cancers anabolism usually cannot be achieved by only supplying energy and substrates [44], [50], [51]. According to data collected on body compartments, artificial nutrition results in a stabilisation of or an increase in body weight [68], [69], [70], [71] and body fat mass, while an improvement in lean body or muscle mass is observed only rarely [72].

Numerous studies reported a median overall survival of 50 to 150 days [69], [70], [71], [73], [74], [75], [76], [77], [78] when using PN in patients with advanced cancer and chronic small bowel defects. In the majority of these patients weight [69], [70], [71], and parameters to measure quality of life may be stabilized [69], [70], [71], [75]. The rate of PN-associated infectious complications is between 0.34 and 2.68 per 1000 catheter days [74], [76], [77], [78].

Orreval et al. reported that the provision of home PN was perceived as a positive alternative to progressive weight loss due to the inability to eat in a small group of patients with advanced tumours [79].

Meta-analyses indicate that PN may reduce postoperative complications in malnourished, but not in normally nourished, patients after extensive abdominal surgery [80]. In contrast, only few studies have evaluated the influence of PN on the therapeutic effects of non-surgical oncology. Parenteral nutrition in orally nourished patients undergoing chemotherapy may increase body weight [68]

(Ib), but does not improve anticancer treatment [68], [81] (Ib). The quality of these few studies, however, is restricted by the inhomogeneity of the patient groups and by the inclusion of patients without malnutrition or patients who were able to eat normal amounts of food [81].

Indication for parenteral nutrition in cancer patients

Indications for PN in tumour patients are essentially identical to those in patients with benign illnesses. Considering the limited data available in this area [82], [83], [84], [85], [86], [87], [88] the following recommendations are given:

- PN is indicated if oral and enteral food intake [83], [84] provide <500 kcal per day and this is expected to continue for >5 days, or for between 3 and 5 days in case of severe malnutrition, or if oral and enteral food intake reach <60% of calculated requirement and this is expected to last for 10–14 days in adult patients (C).
- PN should be commenced immediately when indicated, and increased to target dosages over 2–4 days if considered necessary (C).
- The amount of PN should supplement oral or enteral nutrition, providing full nutritional requirements in combination (C).
- PN in children is indicated (C):
 - in severe malnutrition
 - in borderline malnutrition and high risk for malnutrition through therapy, etc.
 - when oral food intake is <60% of the energy and protein requirements and there is a high risk for treatment-induced malnutrition, etc.
- PN is used in children if digestion or absorption of food is impaired and it is expected that the patient will require nutritional therapy for at least 7 days. PN should be commenced as soon as possible and continued until the gastrointestinal tract is fully functioning. Regular checks should be carried out if it is expected that the patient will require a nutrition therapy for less than 7 days (C).

Commentary

In tumour patients, who are not able to eat, digest or absorb foods, the nutritional state may be maintained or improved by PN [71], [75], [89], [90], [91]. This includes situations with severe intestinal defects caused by radiation enteritis, chronic ileus, severe adhesions, short bowel syndrome, peritoneal carcinosis or the occurrence of chylothorax.

In 1994 Klein and Koretz analysed several prospective randomised-controlled studies on the effects of PN regimens in tumour patients, including 22 studies on perioperative nutrition, 18 studies using PN during the course of chemotherapy, and 4 studies using PN during radio-

therapy [81]. They found no general advantage of PN regarding morbidity or mortality, but an increased rate of infection in patients receiving chemotherapy.

Definitive conclusions on the role of PN in the three treatment modalities, however, were not possible due to major flaws in most studies. Most studies had included only few subjects of heterogeneous patient groups undergoing various antitumor therapies. Individual studies were not comparable as they had used different criteria for initiation of artificial nutrition as well as different nutrition regimens and different treatment durations. In addition, patients in these studies were treated despite having a normal, or only a slightly impaired, nutritional state [85], [86].

PN increases tumour cell proliferation [92], [93], [94], [95], [96], [97] and sensitivity to chemotherapy [94], [97] in in-vitro models. In malnourished patients with gastric cancer, concomitant administration of PN with preoperative chemotherapy improved nutritional state, reduced post-operative complications, but did not influence the pre-operative tumour cell proliferation [97].

Using PN during tumour therapy improved the nutritional state of children (Ib) [23], [98], [99], [100]. Several studies indicate that chemotherapy is better tolerated when accompanied by PN, resulting in fewer therapy delays, dose reductions and shorter myelosuppression (Ib) [15], [100], [101], [102], [103]. However, other data suggest that the use of PN does not lower the incidence of therapy-related complications (IIa) [98], [104]. In addition, there is no evidence that targeted nutritional therapy might increase the chance of healing [105] (UICC 34). On the contrary, PN is associated with an increased rate of infection (Ia) [106], [107]. Therefore, in nutritional therapy whenever possible preference should be given to the oral or enteral route [108].

Volume and substrate quantities in parenteral nutrition of cancer patients

- **Energy** expenditure is usually comparable to that of healthy subjects; only rarely is it necessary to supply daily energy exceeding 35 kcal per kg body weight (C) (for children's intake, cf. chapter "Neonatology/Paediatrics" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000074.shtml>)).
- A daily **amino acid** supply of 1.2 to 1.5 g per kg body weight is usually appropriate in cancer patients (C) (for the appropriate dose for children, cf. chapter "Neonatology/Paediatrics" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000074.shtml>)).
- There is no agreement on an ideal ratio of **lipids** and carbohydrates; the proportion of lipids can be above 35% of the overall energy intake without disadvantages (C).
- Glucose should be the preferred parenteral carbohydrate (B).

- **Micronutrients** should be supplied in sufficient amounts; this should not be less than the iv doses recommended for healthy persons (C).
- Monitoring of PN should be carried out following the usual protocol for all PN patients (C).

Commentary

There are generally no accepted standards for the optimal energy and nutrient intake in oncological patients, particularly when artificial nutrition is administered exclusively.

The energy intake should be adapted to the potentially increased energy requirements and the level of physical activity. Total energy expenditure of cancer patients was measured to be comparable to that of healthy subjects, even though REE was increased in cancer patients [30]. The cause of this is perhaps an adaptive decrease in physical activity in metabolically altered cancer patients [36].

The basis for dosing macro- and micronutrients currently remains the same as for healthy persons. There is no indication that an intake of protein above the normal dose (max. 1.5 g protein/kg body weight) has an anticatabolic effect in oncological patients [109].

Tumour patients show increased lipid oxidation and utilisation of exogenously administered lipids [58]. Tumour cells preferentially utilise glucose for their energy requirements while healthy tissues display high lipid oxidation [110]. Therefore, it is recommended to increase the proportion of lipids to over 35% of the total energy supply in the nutrition of oncological patients [58]. More recent studies, however, showed that post-absorptive glucose turnover of malignant tissues is high and does not increase during an intravenous glucose infusion [111]; thus, the theoretical benefit of lipid over glucose solutions may be clinically irrelevant.

Metabolic and immunological effects of various lipid solutions (LCT, MCT) have been compared mainly in surgical environments. The postulated benefit of medium-chained triglycerides (MCT) over long-chained triglycerides (LCT) could not be established in various clinical studies [112], [113]. There are no data in cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy or chemotherapy substantiating benefits of more recently developed parenteral lipid emulsions, with increased contents of n-9 or n-3 fatty acids.

Attention should be given to providing a sufficient supply of micronutrients. The recommendations for intake in other patient population should be followed (cf. chapter "Water, electrolytes, vitamins and trace elements" (<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000080.shtml>)). There are no data supporting a clinical advantage of very high doses of micronutrients.

Special substrates

- The provision of special substrates such as glutamine, arginine, taurine, branched-chain amino acids or n-3

fatty acids is not recommended due to lack of convincing data supporting their use (C).

Commentary

Glutamine has been studied as a possible oral supplement to reduce toxic side-effects of radiation or chemotherapy [114]. Parenteral glutamine has been used in haematopoietic stem cell transplantations (HSCT). Findings to date are inconsistent.

In a randomized study of patients after allogeneic HSCT Ziegler et al. showed a significantly improved nitrogen balance, reduced infection rate and shorter length of stay (LOS) for patients supplemented with glutamine (0.57 g/kg/d) compared a control group on an isonitrogenic and isocaloric diet (Ib) [115]. In a randomized follow-up study these data, however, could only be repeated with respect to a reduction in LOS (Ib) [116]. In a later study, the same working group was not able to document any advantage of parenteral glutamine (0.57 g/kg/d) in a similar clinical situation [117] (Ib).

In a further randomised study patients after HSCT receiving 3–4 weeks of glutamine-enriched PN showed significant increases in total lymphocyte counts, T-lymphocytes, CD4 and CD8 cells, while the clinical outcome was unchanged [118] (Ib). In a randomised study in patients after autologous HSCT, high daily doses of intravenous alanyl-glutamine dipeptide (30 g glutamine) resulted in increased relapse and mortality rates as well as increased costs [119] (Ib).

One randomised study, which highlighted the possible protective role of glutamine infusions on hepatic functions during HSCT justifies further studies, especially with a focus on the prevention of veno-occlusive disease [120] (Ib).

In hematological patients undergoing intensive chemotherapy supplementation with glutamine dipeptide had no effect on hematological parameters or clinical toxicity; the glutamine group, however, showed significantly more weight gain during the study period [121].

In a randomised study of patients with acute myeloid leukaemia requiring PN supplementation with glutamine (20 g) resulted in a more rapid recovery of neutrophils after myelosuppressive chemotherapy, but no reduction in the incidence of neutropenic fever and no improvement in other immunological parameters [122] (Ib).

According to the current ASPEN guidelines [123], there is no indication for the administration of pharmacological doses of glutamine in patients after HSCT. Other recent recommendations agree with this [124].

There is only scarce evidence concerning other special substrates; particularly, there are no relevant data on the parenteral use of n-3 fatty acids.

Indications for parenteral nutrition during radiotherapy

- PN should not be used as a general accompaniment of radiotherapy (B), but PN is indicated if sufficient enteral intake cannot be achieved (B).
- PN is indicated in chronic severe radiation enteritis (C).

Commentary

During the last 10 years no prospective randomised studies have been published on the use of PN as an accompaniment to radiotherapy. So far it has not been demonstrated that routine PN during radiotherapy or radio-chemotherapy improves prognosis [81], [125], [126]. During radiation treatment, especially when treating head and neck areas, whenever possible, sufficient enteral nutrition should be supplied including the use of sip feeds or enteral tube feeding [109], [125], [127], [128].

PN is indicated if sufficient enteral nutrition is not possible, e.g. as a result of acute radiation enteritis; if nutritional deficits exist and radiation is intended to cover the upper gastrointestinal tract such that an intended PEG would need to be placed within the radiation field; and during neoadjuvant treatment, if insertion of a PEG system is not recommended, e.g. in oesophageal resections and planned gastric interposition. Chronic radiation enteritis develops in approx. 5% of cases subjected to abdominal radiation; this may be accompanied by intestinal failure, fistulae, perforation or chylous ascites and these cases frequently require long-term PN [67], [129], [130], [131], [132].

No benefit of special parenteral substrates such as glutamine has been established for radiotherapy procedures.

Indications for parenteral nutrition during chemotherapy

- The indications for PN during chemotherapy are not different from general indications in malignant diseases. Routine PN therapy as an accompaniment to chemotherapy is not indicated (B).

Commentary

In 1990 McGeer et al. published a meta-analysis on the use of PN during chemotherapy (Ia) [133]. They reported that PN is associated with a trend towards shorter survival and reduced tumour response. They concluded that routine PN is not advisable in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Klein and Koretz analysed 18 randomised studies with clinically relevant end points on the effect of PN in patients treated with chemotherapy. They concluded that there were no evident advantages of PN with regards to overall survival, tumour responses and toxicity

of chemotherapy, but there was an increased rate of infection in those receiving PN (Ib) [81].

It is difficult to draw reliable conclusions from the existing data due to serious flaws in most study designs, such as insufficient number of patients treated, inclusion of extremely inhomogeneous patient groups, large variability of the nutrient solutions used, large variability of antitumor therapies, and inclusion of patients who were not suffering from malnutrition as well as patients who maintained normal oral food intake [81]. Randomised studies, i.e. by De Cicco et al, which differentiated between normal and malnourished patients undergoing chemotherapy, were able to detect an improvement in the nitrogen balance in severely malnourished patients while no effect was seen in patients without malnutrition [134] (Ib).

Recent recommendations by the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) and the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) have come to similar conclusions. The AGA report reviewed 19 randomised studies and concluded that PN had no influence on the survival of patients who were treated with chemotherapy or radiation treatment, although a positive influence may be possible after bone marrow transplants. Accompanying PN has an unfavourable effect on other parameters in patients treated for chemotherapy, radiotherapy or bone marrow transplants, mainly an increase in infectious complications and a decrease of the response to chemotherapy (Ib) [85].

The ASPEN recommendations specify that PN as routine accompaniment of chemotherapy is not justified and potentially dangerous due to the increased risk of infection. It is pointed out, however, that PN should be offered to patients who are malnourished and who are unable to absorb sufficient nutrients over a long time period [135]. Regarding all published recommendations it is important to note that all randomised studies on which they are based were performed more than 10 years ago and that many are flawed as mentioned above. More recent studies report fewer complications of long-term PN [67], [76], [77], [78], [136], [137], suggesting that the benefits and risks of PN administration during chemotherapy should be reviewed again in the near future.

Indications for parenteral nutrition during autologous/allogeneic stem cell transplantation

- PN is required only in selected patients after autologous transplantations, while after allogeneic transplantation PN is usually required in most patients and for prolonged time periods due to the development of pronounced mucositis and GvH-related gastrointestinal damage (C).
- Particular attention must be paid to the increased risk of bleeding and infection associated with PN (C).

Commentary

In patients after autologous transplantations impaired food intake is usually of short duration (2–3 weeks). Nutritional problems in allogenic transplant patients usually are more severe and prolonged. Thus, there is no need for routine PN after autologous transplantations, but PN may be necessary if complications develop such as prolonged mucositis [138]. After allogenic transplantations, PN is routinely administered in most transplantation centres [138]. In 1987 Weisdorf et al. showed that prophylactic standardised PN significantly improved survival three years after HSCT [106]. The control group received only minerals and vitamins intravenously until a reduced nutritional state was detected. Because patients receiving early PN had a lower relapse rate, it was speculated that the better overall survival observed might have been caused by a possible positive effect of PN on transplant function, resulting e.g. in an increased graft versus leukaemia effect.

Enteral nutrition is not well tolerated in most cases after complete conditioning regimens [139]; if tolerated, however, enteral nutrition in patients with a functioning gastrointestinal tract has effects on nutritional status that are comparable to those of PN [124], [140] [141] (Ib). The French Federation of Cancer Centres, as well as the authors of a review of relevant randomised studies recommend enteral nutrition as the primary approach in non-myeloablative conditioning, and PN only in cases of gastrointestinal complications [141]. It has been recommended to initiate PN when oral or enteral food intake provides less than 50–60% of calculated requirements [67], [141].

American and French panels on gastroenterology and nutrition emphasize that all HSCT patients carry a high nutritional risk and should, therefore, be monitored regularly for nutritional deficits before and after transplantation [123], [141].

A small randomised study has observed that a high dose of lipid (lipid:glucose ratio 80:20) after allogenic transplantation lowered the incidence of lethal acute graft-versus-host disease and hyperglycaemia [142]. This study has yet to be confirmed.

Certin et al. [143] reported that supplying total rather than partial PN after autologous transplantation resulted in a delayed rise in thrombocytes. At the same time, there were more cases of infection and hyperglycaemia associated with total PN as compared to partial PN, whilst a drop in the level of albumin was prevented. The study was not randomized and patients receiving total PN may have been more severely ill. The observation, however, may support the recommendation not to provide total PN as a standard treatment after autologous transplantations.

In children an autologous blood stem cell transplantation usually has only a low impact on nutritional status (III) [144], [145]. Thus, a targeted nutritional therapy should be based primarily on the above-mentioned criteria (see: Indication for PN in cancer patients) or be initiated if a

conditioning therapy is chosen, which is associated with a high risk for severe mucositis.

In allogenic transplantations the criteria for using PN are usually given, and PN has been shown to have positive effects on maintaining the body weight [146], [147] (Ib). Enteral nutrition is possible in many cases and then is as effective as PN. In a study by Hopman et al., enteral tube feeding was possible during 60% of the study period, although it could be used as the sole form of nutrition only in 3 of 12 children [148] (Ib). In a retrospective analysis, Langdana et al. reported on their positive experiences and the high patient acceptance rate for a similar concept with the preferential use of enteral nutrition [149] (III). In all cases the risks of enteral tube feeding (aspiration, bleeding, diarrhoea, sinusitis, intestinal perforation) should be weighed against the risks of PN (catheter sepsis and metabolic complications).

In most cases it appears to be sufficient to restrict the amount of energy provided to be slightly more than the resting energy expenditure (see: Influence of malignancies on energy expenditure) [150], [151], [152].

There are no generally accepted indications for the use of glutamine (see Special substrates).

Indications for parenteral nutrition independent of antitumor therapies in incurable cancer patients

- If food intake is insufficient survival of patients in advanced cancer stages may be compromised more by inadequate nutrition than by the underlying illness (C).
- Long-term PN should be initiated if intestinal absorption is severely impaired and if all of the following 4 criteria are fulfilled (C):

1. enteral nutrition is insufficient to maintain nutritional state,
2. the expected survival is more than 4 weeks,
3. PN is expected to stabilise or improve quality of life,
4. the patient explicitly wishes to receive PN.

Commentary

Oncological treatments today may allow patients with incurable cancer disease to survive up to a point at which further survival is significantly affected the nutritional state [153]. An inadequate oral or enteral intake results in progressive weight loss and impaired clinical outcome (see: The nutritional state influences the clinical outcome). Randomised studies on the value of PN appear unethical in these situations [87].

Despite a lack of effective antitumor treatment options, patients with advanced cancers may have a life expectancy of several weeks or months. If the expected survival exceeds 2 to 3 months (e.g. the period of survival in total starvation [154], [155], [156]), it can be reasonably assumed that PN will lengthen the survival of a patient who does not tolerate enteral nutrition [87]. In this situation

PN, by providing essential nutrition, constitutes a basic care rather than a medical therapy [87], [157].

Specialised centres providing long-term PN to patients with advanced cancer disease report a median survival period of 2–5 months [69], [70], [71], [73], [74], [75], [76], [77], [78]. This means that a large proportion of patients cared for in this manner have a longer period of survival than that assumed for conditions of complete starvation. Weight stabilisation was successful in a majority of patients [69], [70], [71].

Quality of life scores are poorer in parenterally nourished cancer patients than in healthy subjects undergoing PN; cancer patients are further burdened by accompanying depressions and opioid requirements [158]. PN, however, may stabilise parameters determining quality of life [69], [70], [71]. Orreval et al. reported that the provision of PN was perceived as a positive alternative to progressive weight loss by a small group of patients with advanced tumours and their relatives [79].

Since the benefits of PN can only have an impact when life expectancy is impaired more by insufficient food intake than by the tumour itself, several expert groups recommend considering PN when the expected survival is at least 4 weeks [135] or 2–3 months depending on the tumour [85], [87], [159], [160]. No advantage of PN should be expected when survival is shorter.

It is extremely difficult to estimate the life expectancy of a cancer patient and, hence, the possible advantages of artificial nutrition. These patients should, therefore, be seen and evaluated cooperatively by their consultant oncologist, the nutrition specialist and the palliative care consultant in order to design a treatment plan that is in agreement with the patients expectations and wishes.

Parenteral nutrition in terminally ill patients

- No PN is necessary in dying patients (B).
- The occurrence of agitated confusion induced by dehydration can be controlled by parenteral infusion of saline solutions (or the appropriate paediatric solutions, respectively) (B).

Commentary

During the phase of dying the most important aims of treatment and care are the alleviation of agonising discomfort and the feelings of thirst and hunger. Fluids and nutrition are part of the basic care; however, the patient needs to consent to such offers [157]. Most patients do not feel hungry in the terminal phase of life and only require minimal quantities of fluid [161]. It is counterproductive since it may strain the patient severely and thus it should be avoided at all cost to continue standardised infusion regimens into the terminal phase without further consideration [162].

Regulation of fluid balance should be observed closely. Both dehydration, induced by diuretics or limited drinking,

and hyperhydration caused by infusions can have adverse effects on a person's well-being. The "dry mouth" is one of the main symptoms of the dying [163]. However, thirst and "dry mouth" do neither correlate with the degree of hydration [164] nor with the volume of intravenous infusion [165]. Terminal patients appear to receive too much fluid in general [162], increasing the risks for peripheral oedema, ascites, pleural effusions and the development of a pulmonary oedema.

Dehydration can result in drying of the mucous membranes with subsequent injuries and infections [163], it reduces alertness and promotes the occurrence of restlessness and confusion [166], thus contributing to the burden of the patients and their relatives [167]. Retrospective studies provided evidence that intravenous fluids may reduce neuropsychiatric symptoms like sedation, hallucinations, myoclonus and agitation [168], [169]. A randomised trial in dehydrated terminal cancer patients could show that subjective discomfort was significantly improved with the infusion of 1000 ml per day as compared to no infusions and only minimal oral fluid intake of 100 ml per day [170].

Recommendations for terminal care, therefore, emphasize that fluid intake should always be prescribed on an individual basis and should target the prevention of intolerable symptoms. Fluid quantities of 1000 ml per day are recommended in symptomatic dehydration [170], [171]; in children this corresponds to supplying approx. 50% of the daily fluid requirements.

Notes

This article is part of the publication of the Guidelines on Parenteral Nutrition from the German Society for Nutritional Medicine (overview and corresponding address under <http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000086.shtml>).

English version edited by Sabine Verwied-Jorky, Rashmi Mittal and Berthold Koletzko, Univ. of Munich Medical Centre, Munich, Germany.

References

1. Tubiana M, Attié E, Flamant R, Gérard-Marchant R, Hayat M. Prognostic factors in 454 cases of Hodgkin's Disease. *Cancer Res.* 1971;31(11):1801-10.
2. Swenerton KD, Legha SS, Smith T, Hortobagyi GN, Gehan EA, Yap HY, Gutterman JU, Blumenschein GR. Prognostic factors in metastatic breast cancer treated with combination chemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 1979;39(5):1552-62.
3. DeWys WD, Begg C, Lavin PT, et al. Prognostic effect of weight loss prior to chemotherapy in cancer patients. *Am J Med.* 1980;69(4):491-7. DOI: 10.1016/S0149-2918(05)80001-3
4. Van Eys J. Effect of nutritional status on response to therapy. *Cancer Res.* 1982;42(2 Suppl):747s-53.
5. Pedersen H, Hansen HS, Cederqvist C, Lober J. The prognostic significance of weight loss and its integration in stage-grouping of oesophageal cancer. *Acta Chir Scand.* 1982;148(4):363-6.

6. Bruning PF, Egger RJ, Gooskens AC, et al. Dietary intake, nutritional status and well-being of cancer patients: a prospective study. *Eur J Cancer*. 1985;21(12):1449-59. DOI: 10.1016/0277-5379(85)90237-8
7. Padilla GV. Psychological aspects of nutrition and cancer. *Surg Clin North Am*. 1986;66(6):1121-35.
8. Andreyev HJN, Norman AR, Oates J, Cunningham D. Why do patients with weight loss have a worse outcome when undergoing chemotherapy for gastrointestinal malignancies? *Eur J Cancer*. 1998;34(4):503-9. DOI: 10.1016/S0959-8049(97)10090-9
9. Argiris A, Li Y, Forastiere A. Prognostic factors and long-term survivorship in patients with recurrent or metastatic carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer*. 2004;101(10):2222-9. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.20640
10. Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Vidal PM, Camilo ME. Cancer: disease and nutrition are key determinants of patients' quality of life. *Supp Care Cancer*. 2004;12(4):246-52. DOI: 10.1007/s00520-003-0568-z
11. Ross PJ, Ashley S, Norton A, et al. Do patients with weight loss have a worse outcome when undergoing chemotherapy for lung cancers? *Br J Cancer*. 2004;90:1905-11. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601781
12. Warren S. The immediate causes of death in cancer. *Am J Med Sci*. 1932;184:610-5. DOI: 10.1097/00000441-193211000-00002
13. Aslani A, Smith RC, Allen BJ, Paviakis N, Levi JA. The predictive value of body protein for chemotherapy-induced toxicity. *Cancer*. 2000;88(4):796-803. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(20000215)88:4<796::AID-CNCR10>3.0.CO;2-P
14. Donaldson SS, Wesley MN, DeWys WD, Suskind RM, Jaffe N, vanEys J. A study of the nutritional status of pediatric cancer patients. *Am J Dis Child*. 1981;135(12):1107-12.
15. Rickard KA, Detamore CM, Coates TD, et al. Effect of nutrition staging on treatment delays and outcome in Stage IV neuroblastoma. *Cancer*. 1983;52(4):587-98. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19830815)52:4<587::AID-CNCR2820520402>3.0.CO;2-T
16. Lobato-Mendizabal E, Ruiz-Arguelles GJ, Marin-Lopez A. Leukaemia and nutrition; I: Malnutrition is an adverse prognostic factor in the outcome of treatment of patients with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Leuk Res*. 1989;13:899-906. DOI: 10.1016/0145-2126(89)90043-X
17. Viana MB, Murao M, Ramos G, et al. Malnutrition as a prognostic factor in lymphoblastic leukaemia: a multivariate analysis. *Arch Dis Child*. 1994;71:304-10. DOI: 10.1136/adc.71.4.304
18. Mejia-Arangure JM, Fajardo-Gutierrez A, Reyes-Ruiz NI, et al. Malnutrition in childhood lymphoblastic leukemia: a predictor of early mortality during the induction-to-remission phase of the treatment. *Arch Med Res*. 1999;30:150-3. DOI: 10.1016/S0188-0128(98)00026-8
19. Weir J, Reilly JJ, McColl JH, Gibson BE. No evidence for an effect of nutritional status at diagnosis on prognosis in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1998;20(6):534-8. DOI: 10.1097/00043426-199811000-00004
20. Pedrosa F, Bonilla M, Liu A, et al. Effect of malnutrition at the time of diagnosis on the survival of children treated for cancer in El Salvador and Northern Brazil. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2000;22(6):502-5. DOI: 10.1097/00043426-200011000-00005
21. Wessels G. Nutrition, morbidity and survival in South African children with Wilms tumor. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1999;16(4):321-7. DOI: 10.1080/088800199277146
22. Yaris N, Akyüz C, Coskun T, Kutluk T, Büyükpamukçu M. Nutritional status of children with cancer and its effects on survival. *Turk J Pediatr*. 2002;44(1):35-9.
23. Rickard KA, Grosfeld JL, Kirksey A, Ballantine TV, Baehner RL. Reversal of protein-energy malnutrition in children during treatment of advanced neoplastic disease. *Ann Surg*. 1979;190(6):771-81. DOI: 10.1097/0000658-197912000-00018
24. Picton SV. Aspects of altered metabolism in children with cancer. *Int J Cancer Suppl*. 1998;78(S11):62-4. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(1998)78:11+<62::AID-IJC17>3.0.CO;2-V
25. Halton JM, Scissons-Fisher CC. Impact of nutritional status on morbidity and dose intensity of chemotherapy during consolidation therapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1999;21(4):317. DOI: 10.1097/00043426-199907000-00052
26. Hughes WT, Price RA, Sisko F, et al. Protein-calorie malnutrition; a host determinant for *Pneumocystis carinii* infection. *Am J Dis Child*. 1974;128:44-52.
27. Taj MM, Pearson AD, Mumford DB, Price L. Effect of nutritional status on the incidence of infection in childhood cancer. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1993;10(3):283-7. DOI: 10.3109/08880019309029498
28. Obama M, Cangir A, van Eys J. Nutritional status and anthracycline cardiotoxicity in children. *South Med J*. 1983;76(5):577-8.
29. Bosaeus I, Daneryd P, Svanberg E, Lundholm K. Dietary intake and resting energy expenditure in relation to weight loss in unselected cancer patients. *Int J Cancer*. 2001;93(3):380-3. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.1332
30. Knox LS, Crosby LO, Feurer ID, Buzby GP, Miller CL, Mullen JL. Energy expenditure in malnourished cancer patients. *Ann Surg*. 1983;197():152-61. DOI: 10.1097/0000658-198302000-00006
31. Dempsey DT, Feurer ID, Knox LS, Crosby LO, Buzby GP, Mullen JL. Energy expenditure in malnourished gastrointestinal cancer patients. *Cancer*. 1984;53(6):1265-73. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19840315)53:6<1265::AID-CNCR2820530609>3.0.CO;2-2
32. Dempsey DT, Knox LS, Mullen JL, Miller CL, Feurer ID, Buzby GP. Energy expenditure in malnourished patients with colorectal cancer. *Arch Surg*. 1986;121:789-95.
33. Hansell DT, Davies JW, Burns HJ. Effects of hepatic metastases on resting energy expenditure in patients with colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg*. 1986;73(8):659-62. DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800730828
34. Fredrix EW, Soeters PB, Wouters EF, Deerenberg IM, von Meyenfeldt MF, Saris WH. Effect of different tumor types on resting energy expenditure. *Cancer Res*. 1991;51(22):6138-41.
35. Gibney E, Elia M, Jebb SA, Murgatroyd P, Jennings G. Total energy expenditure in patients with small-cell lung cancer: results of a validated study using the bicarbonate-urea method. *Metabolism*. 1997;46(12):1412-7. DOI: 10.1016/S0026-0495(97)90140-2
36. Moses AW, Slater C, Preston T, Barber MD, Fearon KC. Reduced total energy expenditure and physical activity in cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer can be modulated by an energy and protein dense oral supplement enriched with n-3 fatty acids. *Br J Cancer*. 2004;90:996-1002. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601620
37. Kien CL, Camitta BM. Close association of accelerated rates of whole body protein turnover (synthesis and breakdown) and energy expenditure in children with newly diagnosed acute lymphocytic leukemia. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1987;11(2):129-34. DOI: 10.1177/0148607187011002129

38. Stallings VA, Vaisman N, Chan HS, Weitzman SS, Hahn E, Pencharz PB. Energy metabolism in children with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Res.* 1989;26(2):154-7. DOI: 10.1203/00006450-198908000-00018
39. Bond SA, Han AM, Wootton SA, Kohler JA. Energy intake and basal metabolic rate during maintenance chemotherapy. *Arch Dis Child.* 1992;67:229-32. DOI: 10.1136/adc.67.2.229
40. Vaisman N, Stallings VA, Chan H, Weitzman SS, Clarke R, Pencharz PB. Effect of chemotherapy on the energy and protein metabolism of children near the end of treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1993;57(5):679-84.
41. Den Broeder E, Oeseburg B, Lippens RJ, et al. Basal metabolic rate in children with a solid tumour. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2001;55(8):673-81. DOI: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601199
42. Moldawer LL, Copeland EM. Proinflammatory cytokines, nutritional support, and the cachexia syndrom. *Cancer.* 1997;79(9):1828-39. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19970501)79:9<1828::AID-CNCR28>3.0.CO;2-Z
43. De Blaauw I, Deutz NEP, von Meyenfeldt MF. Metabolic changes in cancer cachexia – first of two parts. *Clin Nutr.* 1997;16(4):169-76. DOI: 10.1016/S0261-5614(97)80002-7
44. De Blaauw I, Deutz NEP, von Meyenfeldt MF. Metabolic changes of cancer cachexia – second of two parts. *Clin Nutr.* 1997;16(5):223-8. DOI: 10.1016/S0261-5614(97)80033-7
45. Inui A. Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome: Are neuropeptides the key? *Cancer Res.* 1999;59(18):4493-501.
46. Ramos EJ, Suzuki S, Marks D, Inui A, Asakawa A, Meguid MM. Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome: cytokines and neuropeptides. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2004;7(4):427-34. DOI: 10.1097/01.mco.0000134363.53782.cb
47. Fearon KCH, Barber MD, Falconer JS, McMillan DC, Ross JA, Preston T. Pancreatic cancer as a model: Inflammatory mediators, acute-phase response, and cancer cachexia. *World J Surg.* 1999;23(6):584-8. DOI: 10.1007/PL00012351
48. Fordy C, Glover C, Henderson DC, Summerbell C, Wharton R, Allen-Mersh TG. Contribution of diet, tumour volume and patient-related factors to weight loss in patients with colorectal liver metastases. *Br J Surg.* 1999;86(5):639-44. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.1999.01086.x
49. Simons JPFHA, Schols AM, Buurman WA, Wouters EF. Weight loss and low body cell mass in males with lung cancer: relationship with systemic inflammation, acute-phase response, resting energy expenditure, and catabolic and anabolic hormones. *Clin Sci.* 1999;97:215-23. DOI: 10.1042/CS19990021
50. Von Meyenfeldt MF. Nutritional support during treatment of biliopancreatic malignancy. *Ann Oncol.* 1999;10:S273-7.
51. Espot NJ, Moldawer LL, Copeland EM. Cytokine-mediated alterations in host metabolism prevent nutritional repletion in cachectic cancer patients. *J Surg Oncol.* 1995;58(2):77-82. DOI: 0.1002/jso.2930580202
52. O'Gorman P, McMillan DC, McArdle CS. Prognostic factors in advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients with weight loss. *Nutr Cancer.* 2000;37(1):36-40. DOI: 10.1207/S15327914NC3701_4
53. Martin F, Santolaria F, Batista N, et al. Cytokine levels (IL-6 and IFN-gamma), acute phase response and nutritional status as prognostic factors in lung cancer. *Cytokine.* 1999;11(1):80-6. DOI: 10.1006/cyto.1998.0398
54. Lundholm K, Holm G, Scherstén T. Insulin resistance in patients with cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1978;38(12):4665-70.
55. Starnes HF, Warren RS, Brennan MF. Protein synthesis in hepatocytes isolated from patients with gastrointestinal malignancy. *J Clin Invest.* 2002;80(5):1384-90. DOI: 10.1172/JCI113216
56. Shaw JH, Wolfe RR. Fatty acid and glycerol kinetics in septic patients and in patients with gastrointestinal cancer. *Ann Surg.* 1997;205(4):368-76.
57. Zuijdgheest-van Leeuwen SD, van den Berg JW, Wattimena JL, et al. Lipolysis and lipid oxidation in weight-losing cancer patients and healthy subjects. *Metabolism.* 2000;49(7):931-6. DOI: 10.1053/meta.2000.6740
58. Körber J, Pricelius S, Heidrich M, Müller MJ. Increased lipid utilization in weight losing and weight stable cancer patients with normal body weight. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1999;53(9):740-5. DOI: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1600843
59. Barber MD, McMillan DC, Preston T, Ross JA, Fearon KCH. Metabolic response to feeding in weight-losing pancreatic cancer patients and its modulation by a fish-oil-enriched nutritional supplement. *Clin Sci.* 2000;98:389-99. DOI: 10.1042/CS19990273
60. Legaspi A, Jeevanandam M, Starnes HF Jr, Brennan MF. Whole body lipid and energy metabolism in the cancer patient. *Metabolism.* 1987;36:958-63. DOI: 10.1016/0026-0495(87)90132-6
61. Jeevanandam M, Horowitz GD, Lowry SF, Brennan MF. Cancer cachexia and protein metabolism. *Lancet.* 1984;1(8392):1423-6.
62. Williams A, Sun X, Fischer JE, Hasselgren PO. The expression of genes in the ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway is increased in skeletal muscle from patients with cancer. *Surgery.* 1999;126(4):744-9.
63. Bossola M, Muscaritoli M, Costelli P, Bellantone R, Pacelli F, Busquets S, Argilès J, Lopez-Soriano FJ, Civello IM, Baccino FM, Rossi Fanelli F, Doglietto GB. Increased muscle ubiquitin mRNA levels in gastric cancer patients. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2001;280(5):R1518-23.
64. Tisdale MJ. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway as a therapeutic target for muscle wasting. *J Support Oncol.* 2005;3(3):209-17.
65. Tisdale MJ. Biomedicine. Protein loss in cancer cachexia. *Science.* 2000;289(5488):2293-4. DOI: 10.1126/science.289.5488.2293
66. Cabal-Manzano R, Bhargava P, Torres-Duarte A, Marshall J, Bhargava P, Wainer IW. Proteolysis-inducing factor is expressed in tumours of patients with gastrointestinal cancers and correlates with weight loss. *Br J Cancer.* 2001;84(12):1599-601. DOI: 10.1054/bjoc.2001.1830
67. Scolapio JS, Ukleja A, Burnes JU, Kelly DG. Outcome of patients with radiation enteritis treated with home parenteral nutrition. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(3):662-6. DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.05546.x
68. Hyltander A, Drott C, Unsgaard B, Tölli J, Körner U, Arfvidsson B, Lundholm K. The effect on body composition and exercise performance of home parenteral nutrition when given as adjunct to chemotherapy of testicular carcinoma. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 1991;21(4):413-20. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.1991.tb01389.x
69. Cozzaglio L, Balzola F, Cosentino F, DeCicco M, Fellagava P, Gaggiotti G, Gallitelli L, Giacosa A, Orban A, Fadda M, Gavazzi C, Pirovano F, Bozzetti F. Outcome of cancer patients receiving home parenteral nutrition. Italian Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (S.I.N.P.E.). *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 1997;21(6):339-42. DOI: 10.1177/0148607197021006339
70. Meuret G, Springer J. Parenterale Heimernährung bei fortgeschrittenen Tumorkrankheiten. *Akt Ernährungsmed.* 1999;24:270-6.
71. Bozzetti F, Cozzaglio L, Biganzoli E, Chiavenna G, De Cicco M, Donati D, Gilli G, Percolla S, Pironi L. Quality of life and length of survival in advanced cancer patients on home parenteral nutrition. *Clin Nutr.* 2002;21(4):281-8. DOI: 10.1054/clnu.2002.0560

72. Fearon KC, Von Meyenfeldt MF, Moses AG, Van Geenen R, Roy A, Gouma DJ, Giacosa A, Van Gossum A, Bauer J, Barber MD, Aaronson NK, Voss AC, Tisdale MJ. Effect of a protein and energy dense N-3 fatty acid enriched oral supplement on loss of weight and lean tissue in cancer cachexia: a randomised double blind trial. *Gut*. 2003;52(10):1479-86. DOI: 10.1136/gut.52.10.1479
73. Howard L. Home parenteral nutrition in patients with a cancer diagnosis. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1992;16(6 Suppl):93S-99S. DOI: 10.1177/014860719201600611
74. Pironi L, Ruggeri E, Tanneberger S, Giordani S, Pannuti F, Miglioli M. Home artificial nutrition in advanced cancer. *J R Soc Med*. 1997;90(11):597-603.
75. Scolapio JS, Fleming CR, Kelly DG, Wick DM, Zinsmeister AR. Survival of home parenteral nutrition-treated patients: 20 years of experience at the Mayo Clinic. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 1999;74(3):217-22.
76. Duerksen DR, Ting E, Thomson P, McCurdy K, Linscer J, Larsen-Celhar S, Brennenstuhl E. Is there a role for TPN in terminally ill patients with bowel obstruction? *Nutrition*. 2004;20(9):760-3. DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2004.05.010
77. Moreno Villares JM, Gomis Muñoz P, Valero Zanuy MA, León Sanz M. Nutrición parenteral domiciliaria en pacientes con cancer avanzado: experiencia en un solo centro a lo largo de diez años [Home parenteral nutrition in patients with advanced cancer: experience of a single centre over ten years]. *Nutr Hosp*. 2004;19(5):253-8.
78. Hoda D, Jatoi A, Burnes J, Loprinzi C, Kelly D. Should patients with advanced, incurable cancers ever be sent home with total parenteral nutrition? A single institution's 20-year experience. *Cancer*. 2005;103(4):863-8. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.20824
79. Orrevall Y, Tishelman C, Herrington MK, Permert J. The path from oral nutrition to home parenteral nutrition: a qualitative interview study of the experiences of advanced cancer patients and their families. *Clin Nutr*. 2004;23(6):1280-7.
80. Braunschweig C, Liang H, Sheean P. Indications for administration of parenteral nutrition in adults. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2004;19(3):255-62. DOI: 10.1177/0115426504019003255
81. Klein S, Koretz RL. Nutrition support in patients with cancer: what do the data really show? *Nutr Clin Pract*. 1994;9(3):91-100. DOI: 10.1177/011542659400900391
82. Ollenschläger G, Konkol K, Mödder B. Indications for and results of nutritional therapy in cancer patients. *Recent Results Cancer Res*. 1988;108:172-84.
83. Sax HC, Souba WW. Enteral and parenteral feedings. Guidelines and recommendations. *Med Clin North Am*. 1993;77(4):863-80.
84. Hackl JM, Balogh D. Indikation zur künstlichen Ernährung – was ist gesichert? *Akt Ernährungsmed*. 1997;22:146-53.
85. Koretz RL, Lipman TO, Klein S; American Gastroenterological Association. AGA technical review on parenteral nutrition. *Gastroenterology*. 2001;121(4):970-1001.
86. ASPEN Board of Directors and the Clinical Guidelines Task Force. Indications for specialized nutrition support. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2002;26:18SA-20SA.
87. Bozzetti F. Home total parenteral nutrition in incurable cancer patients: a therapy, a basic humane care or something in between? *Clin Nutr*. 2003;22(2):109-11. DOI: 10.1054/clnu.2002.0629
88. Druml W, Jadrna K, Roth E. Empfehlungen für die enterale und parenterale Ernährungstherapie des Erwachsenen. Wien: Arbeitsgemeinschaft für klinische Ernährung (AKE); 2004.
89. Brennan MF, Ekman L. Metabolic consequences of nutritional support of the cancer patient. *Cancer*. 1984;54(11 Suppl):2627-34. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19841201)54:2+<2627::AID-CNCR2820541406>3.0.CO;2-N
90. Bozzetti F, Gavazzi C, Ferrari P, Dworzak F. Effect of total parenteral nutrition on the protein kinetics of patients with cancer cachexia. *Tumori*. 2000;86(5):408-11.
91. Bozzetti F, Bozzetti V. Efficacy of enteral and parenteral nutrition in cancer patients. *Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Clin Perform Programme*. 2005;10:127-39. DOI: 10.1159/000083302
92. Baron PL, Lawrence W Jr, Chan WM, White FK, Banks WL Jr. Effects of parenteral nutrition on cell cycle kinetics of head and neck cancer. *Arch Surg*. 1986;121(11):1282-6.
93. Heys SD, Park KG, McNurlan MA, Milne E, Eremin O, Wernerman J, Keenan RA, Garlick PJ. Stimulation of protein synthesis in human tumours by parenteral nutrition: evidence for modulation of tumour growth. *Br J Surg*. 1991;78(4):483-7. DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800780430
94. Cao WX, Xiao HB, Yin HR. [Effects of preoperative parenteral nutritional support with chemotherapy on tumor cell kinetics in gastric cancer patients]. *Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi*. 1994;16(2):137-40.
95. McNurlan MA, Heys SD, Park KG, Broom J, Brown DS, Eremin O, Garlick PJ. Tumour and host tissue responses to branched-chain amino acid supplementation of patients with cancer. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1994;86(3):339-45.
96. Bozzetti F, Gavazzi C, Cozzaglio L, Costa A, Spinelli P, Viola G. Total parenteral nutrition and tumor growth in malnourished patients with gastric cancer. *Tumori*. 1999;85(3):163-6.
97. Jin D, Phillips M, Byles JE. Effects of parenteral nutrition support and chemotherapy on the phasic composition of tumor cells in gastrointestinal cancer. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1999;23(4):237-41. DOI: 10.1177/0148607199023004237
98. Donaldson SS, Wesley MN, Ghavimi F, Shils ME, Suskind RM, DeWys WD. A prospective randomized clinical trial of total parenteral nutrition in children with cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1982;10(2):129-39. DOI: 10.1002/mpo.2950100203
99. Rickard KA, Loghmani ES, Grosfeld JL, Lingard CD, White NM, Foland BB, Jaeger B, Coates TD, Yu PL, Weetman RM, et al. Short- and long-term effectiveness of enteral and parenteral nutrition in reversing or preventing protein-energy malnutrition in advanced neuroblastoma. A prospective randomized study. *Cancer*. 1985;56(12):2881-97. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19851215)56:12<2881::AID-CNCR2820561228>3.0.CO;2-7
100. Rickard KA, Godshall BJ, Loghmani ES, Coates TD, Grosfeld JL, Weetman RM, Lingard CD, Foland BB, Yu PL, McGuire W, et al. Integration of nutrition support into oncologic treatment protocols for high and low nutritional risk children with Wilms' tumor. A prospective randomized study. *Cancer*. 1989;64(2):491-509. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19890715)64:2<491::AID-CNCR2820640224>3.0.CO;2-Y
101. Van Eys J, Copeland EM, Cangir A, Taylor G, Teitel-Cohen B, Carter P, Ortiz C. A clinical trial of hyperalimentation in children with metastatic malignancies. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1980;8(1):63-73. DOI: 10.1002/mpo.2950080110
102. Ghavimi F, Shils ME, Scott BF, Brown M, Tamaroff M. Comparison of morbidity in children requiring abdominal radiation and chemotherapy, with and without total parenteral nutrition. *J Pediatr*. 1982;101(4):530-7. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3476(82)80695-1
103. Hays DM, Merritt RJ, White L, Ashley J, Siegel SE. Effect of total parenteral nutrition on marrow recovery during induction therapy for acute nonlymphocytic leukemia in childhood. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1983;11(2):134-40. DOI: 10.1002/mpo.2950110213
104. Shamberger RC, Pizzo PA, Goodgame JT Jr, Lowry SF, Maher MM, Wesley RA, Brennan MF. The effect of total parenteral nutrition on chemotherapy-induced myelosuppression. A randomized study. *Am J Med*. 1983;74(1):40-8. DOI: 10.1016/0002-9343(83)91116-6

105. UICC Workshop. Nutritional morbidity in children with cancer: Mechanisms, measures and management. *Int J Cancer*. 1998;78(Suppl II):1-92.
106. Weisdorf SA, Lysne J, Wind D, Haake RJ, Sharp HL, Goldman A, Schissel K, McGlave PB, Ramsay NK, Kersey JH. Positive effect of prophylactic total parenteral nutrition on long-term outcome of bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation*. 1987;43(6):833-8.
107. Christensen ML, Hancock ML, Gattuso J, Hurwitz CA, Smith C, McCormick J, Mirro J Jr. Parenteral nutrition associated with increased infection rate in children with cancer. *Cancer*. 1993;72(9):2732-8. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19931101)72:9<2732::AID-CNCR2820720934>3.0.CO;2-E
108. Bakish J, Hargrave D, Tariq N, Laperriere N, Rutka JT, Bouffet E. Evaluation of dietetic intervention in children with medulloblastoma or supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumors. *Cancer*. 2003;98(5):1014-20. DOI: 10.1002/cncr.11598
109. Nitenberg G, Raynard B. Nutritional support of the cancer patient: issues and dilemmas. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2000;34(3):137-68. DOI: 10.1016/S1040-8428(00)00048-2
110. Holm E, Hagmüller E, Staedt U, Schlickeiser G, Günther HJ, Leweling H, Tokus M, Kollmar HB. Substrate balances across colonic carcinomas in humans. *Cancer Res*. 1995;55(6):1373-8.
111. Bozzetti F, Gavazzi C, Mariani L, Crippa F. Glucose-based total parenteral nutrition does not stimulate glucose uptake by humans tumours. *Clin Nutr*. 2004;23(3):417-21. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2003.09.012
112. Ulrich H, Pastores SM, Katz DP, Kvetan V. Parenteral use of medium-chain triglycerides: a reappraisal. *Nutrition*. 1996;12(4):231-8. DOI: 10.1016/S0899-9007(96)00089-6
113. Waitzberg DL, Lotierzo PH, Logullo AF, Torrinhas RS, Pereira CC, Meier R. Parenteral lipid emulsions and phagocytic systems. *Br J Nutr*. 2002;87 Suppl 1:S49-57. DOI: 10.1079/BJN2001456
114. Savarese DM, Savy G, Vahdat L, Wischmeyer PE, Corey B. Prevention of chemotherapy and radiation toxicity with glutamine. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2003;29(6):501-13. DOI: 10.1016/S0305-7372(03)00133-6
115. Ziegler TR, Young LS, Benfell K, Scheltinga M, Hortos K, Bye R, Morrow FD, Jacobs DO, Smith RJ, Antin JH, et al. Clinical and metabolic efficacy of glutamine-supplemented parenteral nutrition after bone marrow transplantation. A randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Ann Intern Med*. 1992;116(10):821-8.
116. Schloerb PR, Amare M. Total parenteral nutrition with glutamine in bone marrow transplantation and other clinical applications (a randomized, double-blind study). *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1993;17(5):407-13. DOI: 10.1177/0148607193017005407
117. Schloerb PR, Skikne BS. Oral and parenteral glutamine in bone marrow transplantation: a randomized, double-blind study. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1999;23(3):117-22. DOI: 10.1177/0148607199023003117
118. Ziegler TR, Bye RL, Persinger RL, Young LS, Antin JH, Wilmore DW. Effects of glutamine supplementation on circulating lymphocytes after bone marrow transplantation: a pilot study. *Am J Med Sci*. 1998;315(1):4-10. DOI: 10.1097/O0000441-199801000-00002
119. Pytlík R, Benes P, Patorková M, Chocenská E, Gregora E, Procházka B, Kozák T. Standardized parenteral alanyl-glutamine dipeptide supplementation is not beneficial in autologous transplant patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled study. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2002;30(12):953-61. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1703759
120. Brown SA, Goringe A, Fegan C, Davies SV, Giddings J, Whittaker JA, Burnett AK, Poynton CH. Parenteral glutamine protects hepatic function during bone marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1998;22(3):281-4. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1701321
121. Van Zaanen HC, van der Lelie H, Timmer JG, Fürst P, Sauerwein HP. Parenteral glutamine dipeptide supplementation does not ameliorate chemotherapy-induced toxicity. *Cancer*. 1994;74(10):2879-84. DOI: 10.1002/1097-0142(19941115)74:10<2879::AID-CNCR2820741022>3.0.CO;2-H
122. Scheid C, Hermann K, Kremer G, Holsing A, Heck G, Fuchs M, Waldschmidt D, Herrmann HJ, Söhngen D, Diehl V, Schwenk A. Randomized, double-blind, controlled study of glycyl-glutamine-dipeptide in the parenteral nutrition of patients with acute leukemia undergoing intensive chemotherapy. *Nutrition*. 2004;20(3):249-54. DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2003.11.018
123. ASPEN Board of Directors and the Clinical Guidelines Task Force. Specific guidelines for disease – adults: Cancer – hematopoietic cell transplantation. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2002;26:83SA-85SA.
124. Arfons LM, Lazarus HM. Total parenteral nutrition and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: an expensive placebo? *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2005;36(4):281-8. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705039
125. Fietkau R. Principles of feeding cancer patients via enteral or parenteral nutrition during radiotherapy. *Strahlenther Onkol*. 1998;174 Suppl 3:47-51.
126. Body JJ. Metabolic sequelae of cancers (excluding bone marrow transplantation). *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 1999;2(4):339-44. DOI: 10.1097/00075197-199907000-00016
127. Celaya Pérez S, Valero Zanuy MA. [Nutritional management of oncologic patients]. *Nutr Hosp*. 1999;14 Suppl 2:43S-52S.
128. Schattner MA, Willis HJ, Raykher A, Brown P, Quesada O, Scott B, Shike M. Long-term enteral nutrition facilitates optimization of body weight. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2005;29(3):198-203. DOI: 10.1177/0148607105029003198
129. Miller DG, Ivey M, Young J. Home parenteral nutrition in treatment of severe radiation enteritis. *Ann Intern Med*. 1979;91(6):858-60.
130. Lavery IC, Steiger E, Fazio VW. Home parenteral nutrition in management of patients with severe radiation enteritis. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1980;23(2):91-3. DOI: 10.1007/BF02587600
131. Lentz SS, Schray MF, Wilson TO. Chylous ascites after whole-abdomen irradiation for gynecologic malignancy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1990;19(2):435-8.
132. Silvain C, Besson I, Ingrand P, Beau P, Fort E, Matuchansky C, Carretier M, Morichau-Beauchant M. Long-term outcome of severe radiation enteritis treated by total parenteral nutrition. *Dig Dis Sci*. 1992;37(7):1065-71. DOI: 10.1007/BF01300288
133. McGeer AJ, Detsky AS, O'Rourke K. Parenteral nutrition in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: a meta-analysis. *Nutrition*. 1990;6(3):233-40.
134. De Cicco M, Panarello G, Fantin D, et al. Parenteral nutrition in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: effects on toxicity and nutritional status. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1993;17(6):513-8. DOI: 10.1177/0148607193017006513
135. ASPEN Board of Directors and the Clinical Guidelines Task Force. Specific guidelines for disease – adults: cancer. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2002;26:82SA-83SA.

136. Bozzetti F, Mariani L, Bertinet DB, Chiavenna G, Crose N, De Cicco M, Gigli G, Mickelwright A, Moreno Villares JM, Orban A, Pertkiewicz M, Pironi L, Vilas MP, Prins F, Thul P. Central venous catheter complications in 447 patients on home parenteral nutrition: an analysis of over 100.000 catheter days. *Clin Nutr.* 2002;21(6):475-85. DOI: 10.1054/clnu.2002.0578
137. Ireton-Jones C, DeLegge M. Home parenteral nutrition registry: a five-year retrospective evaluation of outcomes of patients receiving home parenteral nutrition support. *Nutrition.* 2005;21(2):156-60. DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2004.04.024
138. Muscaritoli M, Grieco G, Capria S, Iori AP, Rossi Fanelli F. Nutritional and metabolic support in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;75(2):183-90.
139. Herrmann VM, Petruska PJ. Nutrition support in bone marrow transplant recipients. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 1993;8(1):19-27. DOI: 10.1177/011542659300800119
140. Szeluga DJ, Stuart RK, Brookmeyer R, Utermohlen V, Santos GW. Nutritional support of bone marrow transplant recipients: a prospective, randomized clinical trial comparing total parenteral nutrition to an enteral feeding program. *Cancer Res.* 1987;47(12):3309-16.
141. Raynard B, Nitenberg G, Gory-Delabaere G, Bourhis JH, Bachmann P, Bensadoun RJ, Desport JC, Kere D, Schneider S, Senesse P, Bordignon P, Dieu L; FNCLCC. Summary of the Standards, Options and Recommendations for nutritional support in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation (2002). *Br J Cancer.* 2003;89 Suppl 1:S101-6. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601091
142. Muscaritoli M, Conversano L, Torelli GF, Arcese W, Capria S, Cangiano C, Falcone C, Rossi Fanelli F. Clinical and metabolic effects of different parenteral nutrition regimens in patients undergoing allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. *Transplantation.* 1998;66(5):610-6. DOI: 10.1097/00007890-199809150-00011
143. Cetin T, Arpacı F, Dere Y, Turan M, Oztürk B, Kömürçü S, Ozet A, Beyzadeoglu M, Kaptan K, Beyan C, Yalçın A. Total parenteral nutrition delays platelet engraftment in patients who undergo autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Nutrition.* 2002;18(7-8):599-603. DOI: 10.1016/S0899-9007(02)00779-7
144. Kajiume T, Yoshimi S, Kobayashi K, Kataoka N. Nutritional assessment of peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in children. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2000;17(5):389-92. DOI: 10.1080/08880010050034328
145. Pedrón C, Madero L, Madero R, García-Novo MD, Díaz MA, Hernández M. Short-term follow-up of the nutritional status of children undergoing autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2000;17(7):559-66. DOI: 10.1080/08880010050122825
146. Yokoyama S, Fujimoto T, Mitomi T, Yabe M, Yabe H, Kato S. Use of total parenteral nutrition in pediatric bone marrow transplantation. *Nutrition.* 1989;5(1):27-30.
147. Uderzo C, Rovelli A, Bonomi M, Fomia L, Pirovano L, Masera G. Total parenteral nutrition and nutritional assessment and leukaemic children undergoing bone marrow transplantation. *Eur J Cancer.* 1991;27(6):758-62. DOI: 10.1016/0277-5379(91)90183-E
148. Hopman GD, Peña EG, Le Cessie S, Van Weel MH, Vossen JM, Mearin ML. Tube feeding and bone marrow transplantation. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2003;40(6):375-9. DOI: 10.1002/mpo.10284
149. Langdana A, Tully N, Molloy E, Bourke B, O'Meara A. Intensive enteral nutrition support in paediatric bone marrow transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2001;27(7):741-6. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1702855
150. Ringwald-Smith KA, Heslop HE, Krance RA, Mackert PW, Hancock ML, Stricklin LM, Bowman LC, Hale GA. Energy expenditure in children undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2002;30(2):125-30. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1703608
151. Duggan C, Bechard L, Donovan K, Vangel M, O'Leary A, Holmes C, Lehmann L, Guinan E. Changes in resting energy expenditure among children undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2003;78(1):104-9.
152. Forchielli ML, Azzi N, Cadranel S, Paolucci G. Total parenteral nutrition in bone marrow transplant: what is the appropriate energy level? *Oncology.* 2003;64(1):7-13. DOI: 10.1159/000066513
153. MacFie J. Ethical implications of recognizing nutritional support as a medical therapy. *Br J Surg.* 1996;83(11):1567-8. DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800831125
154. Brozek J, Wells S, Keys A. Medical aspects of semistarvation in Leningrad (siege 1941-1942). *Am Rev Sov Med.* 1946;4:70-86.
155. Fliednerbaum J. Clinical aspects of hunger disease in adults. In: Winnick M, editor. *Hunger disease: Studies by the Jewish physicians in the Warsaw ghetto.* New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1979. p. 11-43.
156. Winnick M, editor. *Hunger disease: Studies by the Jewish physicians in the Warsaw ghetto.* New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1979.
157. Bundesärztekammer. Grundsätze der Bundesärztekammer zur ärztlichen Sterbebegleitung. *Dtsch Arztebl.* 1998;95:B1851-B1853.
158. Winkler MF. Quality of life in adult home parenteral nutrition patients. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2005;29(3):162-70. DOI: 10.1177/0148607105029003162
159. Bachmann P, Marti-Massoud C, Blanc-Vincent MP, Desport JC, Colomb V, Dieu L, Kere D, Melchior JC, Nitenberg G, Raynard B, Roux-Bournay P, Schneider S, Senesse P. Standards, options et recommandations: nutrition en situation palliative ou terminale de l'adulte porteur de cancer évolutif [Standards, options and recommendations: nutritional support in palliative or terminal care of adult patients with progressive cancer]. *Bull Cancer.* 2001;88(10):985-1006.
160. McKinlay AW. Nutritional support in patients with advanced cancer: permission to fall out? *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2004;63(3):431-5. DOI: 10.1079/PNS2004377
161. McCann RM, Hall WJ, Groth-Juncker A. Comfort care for terminally ill patients. The appropriate use of nutrition and hydration. *JAMA.* 1994;272(16):1263-6.
162. Bruera E, Belzile M, Watanabe S, Fainsinger RL. Volume of hydration in terminal cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer.* 1996;4(2):147-50. DOI: 10.1007/BF01845764
163. Burge FI. Dehydration symptoms of palliative care cancer patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 1993;8(7):454-64. DOI: 10.1016/0885-3924(93)90188-2
164. Ellershaw JE, Sutcliffe JM, Saunders CM. Dehydration and the dying patient. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 1995;10(3):192-7. DOI: 10.1016/0885-3924(94)00123-3
165. Musgrave CF, Opstad J. Fluid retention and intravenous hydration in the dying. *Palliat Med.* 1996;10(1):53. DOI: 10.1177/026921639601000111
166. Fainsinger RL, Bruera E. When to treat dehydration in a terminally ill patient? *Support Care Cancer.* 1997;5(3):205-11. DOI: 10.1007/s005200050061
167. Michaud L, Burnand B, Stiefel F. Taking care of the terminally ill cancer patient: delirium as a symptom of terminal disease. *Ann Oncol.* 2004;15 Suppl 4:iv199-203. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdh927

168. Bruera E, Franco JJ, Maltoni M, Watanabe S, Suarez-Almazor M. Changing pattern of agitated impaired mental status in patients with advanced cancer: association with cognitive monitoring, hydration, and opioid rotation. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 1995;10(4):287-91. DOI: 10.1016/0885-3924(95)00005-J
169. De Stoutz ND, Bruera E, Suarez-Almazor M. Opioid rotation for toxicity reduction in terminal cancer patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 1995;10(5):378-84. DOI: 10.1016/0885-3924(95)90924-C
170. Bruera E, Sala R, Rico MA, Moyano J, Centeno C, Willey J, Palmer JL. Effects of parenteral hydration in terminally ill cancer patients: a preliminary study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(10):2366-71. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.069
171. Bachmann P, Marti-Massoud C, Blanc-Vincent MP, Desport JC, Colomb V, Dieu L, Kere D, Melchior JC, Nitenberg G, Raynard B, Roux-Bournay P, Schneider S, Senesse P; FNCLCC. Summary version of the Standards, Options and Recommendations for palliative or terminal nutrition in adults with progressive cancer (2001). *Br J Cancer*. 2003;89 Suppl 1:S107-10. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601092

Please cite as

Arends J, Zuercher G, Dossett A, Fietkau R, Hug M, Schmid I, Shang E, Zander A, Working group for developing the guidelines for parenteral nutrition of The German Association for Nutritional Medicine. *Non-surgical oncology – Guidelines on Parenteral Nutrition, Chapter 19*. *GMS Ger Med Sci*. 2009;7:Doc09.

This article is freely available from

<http://www.egms.de/en/gms/2009-7/000068.shtml>

Received: 2009-01-14

Published: 2009-11-18

Copyright

©2009 Arends et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/deed.en>). You are free: to Share – to copy, distribute and transmit the work, provided the original author and source are credited.